arXiv:1111.3316v2 [math.AG] 30 May 2012

ON THE CRYSTALLINE PERIOD MAP

A. Beilinson

To Irene

This article is a direct continuation of [B], to which the reader is referred for a general introduction. It contains a simple proof of the Fontaine-Jannsen conjecture based on a crystalline version of the p-adic Poincaré lemma (different proofs where found earlier by Faltings, Niziol, and Tsuji, the case of open varieties treated by Yamashita). Another approach, based on an identification of the log crystalline cohomology for lci maps with the *noncompleted* (for the Hodge filtration) derived de Rham complex, was developed by Bhatt [Bh2].

§1, which takes most of the pages, is a review of log crystalline cohomology and Hyodo-Kato theory. In §2 we consider a cohomology theory that assigns to any algebraic variety X over $\bar{K}$ an $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$-complex $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X)$ equipped with a Frobenius action. Here $\bar{K}$ is an algebraic closure of a p-adic field K, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ is the Fontaine ring. One constructs $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}$ applying the h-localization procedure from [B] 2.6 to the absolute[1] log crystalline cohomology of log schemes coming from semi-stable pairs. We show that $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X)\otimes^L\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ equals étale cohomology with coefficients in $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}/p^n$. This assertion - which is the crystalline p-adic Poincaré lemma - comes, like its derived de Rham counterpart of [B] 3.3, from Bhatt's theorem [Bh1] 1.1, [B] 4.3, but to deduce it we use the conjugate spectral sequence instead of Hodge-de Rham one. It yields the comparison map $\rho_{\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \to R\Gamma_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(X,\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. In §3 we show that ρ_{crys} becomes isomorphism after the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{crys}}$-localization, which implies the Fontaine-Jannsen conjecture, and check compatibility of ρ_{crys} with its derived de Rham cousin ρ_{dR} of [B] 3.5.

I am grateful to Bhargav Bhatt, Volodya Drinfeld, and Kazuya Kato for very helpful discussions.

1. Log crystalline cohomology: a review.

The section can be divided into four parts:
(a) *Preliminary material* (1.1–1.4). The main reference for log schemes is [K1] (see also [Og] for an expanded exposition). We show that the key result about existence of pd-envelopes, proved in [K1] 5.4 for fine log schemes, remains true for integral quasi-coherent log schemes, which is our preferred log crystalline setting.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F30, 14F40; Secondary 14F20.

Key words and phrases. p-adic periods, log crystalline cohomology, h-topology, alterations.

The author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1001660.

[1] i.e., relative to $W(k)$, where k is the residue field of K.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

(b) *Log crystalline basics* (1.5–1.12). One finds a concise exposition of key aspects of Berthelot's theory [Ber] in [BhdJ]; we discuss Kato's log crystalline theory [K1] §§5-6, [HK] §2, as well as the Illusie-Olsson comparison theorem, in similar vein.
(c) *Frobenius crystals and the Hyodo-Kato theory* (1.13–1.16). We deduce the key global results of Hyodo-Kato directly from the identification of nondegenerate Frobenius crystals up to isogeny on Spec (O_K/p) with (φ, N)-modules (cf. [Ol2] 5.3). The original approach of [HK] §5 (see also [Ill3] §7) was local and used de Rham-Witt complexes. The core of both arguments goes back to Dwork ([Ka] 3.1).
(d) *Fontaine's rings and absolute crystalline cohomology of log schemes over* $O_{\bar{K}}$ (1.17–1.18). This is an exposition of [K2] §§3–4.

1.1. *Log schemes.* A log scheme is denoted as $(Z, \mathcal{M}) = (Z, \mathcal{M}, \alpha)$, Z is the underlying scheme, $\mathcal{M}$ is the monoid sheaf, $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ is the log structure map. A *chart* for it is a homomorphism of monoids $c_M : M \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$ (the chart map) which yields an isomorphism $M_Z^a \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$; here M_Z is the constant sheaf of monoids on $X_{\text{ét}}$ with fibers M and $M_Z^a = (M_Z^a, \alpha)$ is the log structure generated by the prelog one $\alpha c_M : M_Z \to \mathcal{O}_Z$. A chart is *integral* if M is integral (i.e., the canonical map $M \to M^{\text{gr}}$ - the group completion of M - is injective) and *fine* if, in addition, M is finitely generated.

We say that $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ is *log affine* if Z is affine and $\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$ generates $\mathcal{M}$,[2] *quasi-coherent* ([K1] 2.1) if it admits a chart étale locally, *integral*[3] ([K1] 2.2) if $\mathcal{M}$ is integral, and *fine* ([K1] 2.3) if it admits a fine chart étale locally. We identify schemes with log schemes with trivial log structure, and write X for $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$.

The category of log schemes has finite inverse limits; the underlying scheme functor $(Z, \mathcal{M}) \mapsto Z$ commutes with inverse limits ([K1] 1.6).

Let $f : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \to (S, \mathcal{L})$ be a map of log schemes. A *chart* for it consists of charts $M \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$, $L \to \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L})$ and a map of monoids $L \to M$ such that the evident diagram commutes. Such a chart is *fine* if both M, L are fine.

Proposition. *If $(S, \mathcal{L})$ is fine, $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ is integral quasi-coherent, then f admits a chart étale locally. If $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ is fine as well, then f admits a fine chart étale locally.*

Proof. The second claim is [K1] 2.10. We modify Kato's proof to cover the first claim. Let us construct an étale neighborhood with a chart of $s \in S$. Let $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{L}_s$ that generates $\mathcal{L}_s / \mathcal{O}_{S,s}^\times$. Let $K \subset L \subset \mathbb{Z}^I$ be the preimages of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}^\times$ and $\mathcal{L}_s$ by the map $\mathbb{Z}^I \to \mathcal{L}_s^{\text{gr}}$, $e_i \mapsto \ell_i$. Let $\{k_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a base of K, so $k_j = \Sigma n_{ji} e_i - \Sigma n'_{ji} e_i$, where $n_{ji}, n'_{ji} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then e_i, k_j, and $-k_j$ generate L as a monoid, with $k_j + \Sigma n'_{ji} e_i = \Sigma n_{ji} e_i$, $k_j + (-k_j) = 0$ being the full set of relations as of *integral* monoid. As in [K1] 2.10, after localizing S, the map $L \to \mathcal{L}_s$ lifts to a chart $c_L : L \to \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L})$.

Pick any $z \in Z$. Let us construct its étale neighborhood with a chart for f. Localizing Z, we can assume that $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ has chart M'' and $f^*(\ell_i) = m_i g_i$, where $m_i \in M''$, $g_i \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z^\times)$. Set $h_j := f^*(c_L(k_j)) \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z^\times)$. Notice that $M' := M'' \oplus \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z^\times)$, equipped with an evident chart map $c_{M'}$, is another chart for $\mathcal{M}$. The elements $(0, h_j) + \Sigma n'_{ji}(m_i, g_i)$ and $\Sigma n_{ji}(m_i, g_i)$ of M' are identified by $c_{M'}$. Let M be the *integral* monoid quotient of M' modulo the relations $(0, h_j) + \Sigma n'_{ji}(m_i, g_i) = \Sigma n_{ji}(m_i, g_i)$. Then $c_{M'}$ factors through $c_M : M \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$, which is again a chart, and we have a map of monoids $L \to M$ which assigns to e_i, k_j the images of (m_i, g_i), $(0, h_j)$ in M. This is the promised chart for f. $\square$

---

[2] I.e., every local section of $\mathcal{M}$ is the product of a global section and an invertible function.

[3] In present article "integral" in the sense of "reduced irreducible" (scheme) is never used.

Exercises. (i) Every quasi-coherent $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ admits the maximal closed integral log subscheme $(Z, \mathcal{M})^{\mathrm{int}}$. The log scheme $(Z, \mathcal{M})^{\mathrm{int}}$ is quasi-coherent, and the functor $(Z, \mathcal{M}) \mapsto (Z, \mathcal{M})^{\mathrm{int}}$ is right adjoint to the embedding of the category of quasi-coherent integral log schemes into that of quasi-coherent log schemes.
(ii) If $(Z_i, \mathcal{M}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are integral quasi-coherent log schemes over a fine log scheme $(S, \mathcal{L})$, then $(Z_1, \mathcal{M}_1) \times_{(S,\mathcal{L})} (Z_2, \mathcal{M}_2)$ is quasi-coherent.[4]
(iii) Suppose $(T, \mathcal{N})$ is integral and $(Z, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (T, \mathcal{N})$ is an exact closed embedding defined by a nil ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_T$. If Z is affine, then for any $m \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$ the set of its liftings to $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{N})$ is a $\Gamma(T, (1 + \mathcal{J})^\times)$-torsor.[5] $(T, \mathcal{N})$ is quasi-coherent if (and only if) such is $(Z, \mathcal{M})$.[6]

Remarks. (i) Let $f : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \to (S, \mathcal{L})$ be a map of fine log schemes; suppose S is affine, Z/S is finitely presented. Then there is $f' : (Z', \mathcal{M}') \to (S', \mathcal{L}')$ having the same properties with S' affine of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$, and a map $(S, \mathcal{L}) \to (S', \mathcal{L}')$ such that $\mathcal{L}$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{L}'$ and f isomorphic to the pullback of f'; for f log smooth, one can find log smooth f'.
(ii) Suppose f as in (i) is log smooth, Z is affine, and we have a closed exact embedding $(S, \mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow (T, \mathcal{N})$ defined by a nil ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_T$; then f is the pullback of some log smooth $f_T : (Z_T, \mathcal{M}_T) \to (T, \mathcal{N})$ defined uniquely up to an isomorphism.[7]

We denote by $\mathbb{A}^1_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ and $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ the affine line and the *logarithimic affine line* over a log scheme $(S, \mathcal{L})$. Both equal $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_S[t]$ as schemes, the log structures are generated by, respectively, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{N}$, the latter log structure map sends $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to t^n. For a log $(S, \mathcal{L})$-scheme $(Z, \mathcal{M})$, a map from it to $\mathbb{A}^1_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ is (the same as) a section of $\mathcal{O}_Z$, a map to $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ is a section of $\mathcal{M}$. The group scheme $\mathbb{G}_a$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^1_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ by translations, $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ by homotheties. For a (possibly infinite) set I we denote by $\mathbb{A}^I_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$, $\mathbb{A}^{(I)}_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ the fiber products of I copies of $\mathbb{A}^1_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$, $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$.

1.2. *Log pd-schemes.* For us, a *log pd-scheme* is a log scheme $(T, \mathcal{M}_T)$ equipped with a pd-ideal $(\mathcal{J}_T, \delta)$ (so $\mathcal{J}_T$ is a quasi-coherent ideal in $\mathcal{O}_T$, δ a pd-struture on it). For a log scheme $(Z, \mathcal{M})$, its *pd-thickening* is an exact closed embedding ([K1] 3.1) of $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ into a log pd-scheme as above such that $\mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_T/\mathcal{J}_T$. Log pd-schemes, i.e., pd-thickenings, form naturally a category. We often abbreviate $(T, \mathcal{M}_T, \mathcal{J}_T, \delta)$ to $(T, \mathcal{M}_T, \mathcal{J}_T)$; a pd-thickening as above is denoted by $(Z, T, \mathcal{M}_T)$ or simply (Z, T).

Let $S^\sharp = (S, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ be a log pd-scheme with $p \in \mathcal{O}_S$ nilpotent, $p^n = 0$. Below *log scheme over* $S^\sharp$, or *log* $S^\sharp$*-scheme*, means a log scheme $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ over $(S, \mathcal{L})$ such that γ extends to Z (i.e., to $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_Z$). A *log pd-*$S^\sharp$*-scheme* is a log $S^\sharp$-scheme $(T, \mathcal{M}_T)$ equipped with a pd-ideal $(\mathcal{J}_T, \delta)$ such that γ and δ extend to a pd-structure on $\mathcal{J}_T + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_T$. We can consider *pd-*$S^\sharp$*-thickenings* of $(Z, \mathcal{M})$, etc. Notice that $\mathcal{J}_T$ is a nil ideal (since for $a \in \mathcal{J}_T$ one has $a^p \in p\mathcal{J}_T$ and $p^n = 0$). Thus pd-$S^\sharp$-thickenings of $(Z, \mathcal{M}_Z)$ have $Z_{\text{ét}}$-local nature.

---

[4] Hint: Use the fact that étale locally both projections $(Z_i, \mathcal{M}_i) \to (S, \mathcal{L})$ admit charts with the same L (follows from the proof of the proposition).

[5] Hint: T is affine and $H^{>0}(T_{\text{ét}}, (1 + \mathcal{J})^\times) = 0$.

[6] Hint: Suppose Z is affine and $M \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$ is a chart for $\mathcal{M}$. Then $M \times_{\Gamma(Z,\mathcal{M})} \Gamma(T, \mathcal{N}) \to \Gamma(T, \mathcal{N})$ is a chart for $\mathcal{N}$.

[7] By (i), we are reduced to the situation when $\mathcal{J}$ is nilpotent; now the assertion is [K1] 3.14.

The categories of log pd-schemes, log $S^\sharp$-schemes, and log pd-$S^\sharp$-schemes have finite inverse limits. For a group scheme G we denote by $G^\sharp$ its pd-envelope at $1 \in G$; this is a group pd-scheme. One has $\mathbb{G}_m^\sharp((Z,T)) = \Gamma(T, (1+\mathcal{J}_T)^\times)$.

1.3. *Log pd-envelopes.* Suppose $S^\sharp$ from 1.2 is such that $(S, \mathcal{L})$ is quasi-coherent. Let $\mathcal{C}_{S^\sharp}$ be the category whose objects are locally closed embeddings[8] $i_Y : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{N})$ of log $S^\sharp$-schemes such that $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ is integral quasi-coherent, $(Y, \mathcal{N})$ is quasi-coherent (the morphisms are maps of $(Y, \mathcal{N})$'s that preserve $(Z, \mathcal{M})$'s). Let $\mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp}$ be the category of log pd-$S^\sharp$-thickenings (Z, T) as in 1.2 such that $(T, \mathcal{M}_T)$, hence $(Z, \mathcal{M})$, is integral quasi-coherent.

Theorem. *The evident functor $\mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp} \to \mathcal{C}_{S^\sharp}$ (forgetting of the pd structure on $\mathcal{J}_T$) admits right adjoint.*

The theorem says that every i_Y in $\mathcal{C}_{S^\sharp}$ admits a universal factorization

$$(Z, \mathcal{M}) \overset{i_T}{\hookrightarrow} (T, \mathcal{M}_T) \xrightarrow{r} (Y, \mathcal{N}) \tag{1.3.1}$$

with $(Z, T) \in \mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp}$ called *the pd-$S^\sharp$-envelope* of i_Y.

Proof. Let us construct i_T. When $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ is fine, $(Y, \mathcal{N})$ is coherent, this was done in [K1] 5.4. The idea of the general argument: after étale localization and adding extra polynomial variables to Y, one can realize i_Y as a filtered inverse limit of embeddings of fine log schemes, which brings i_T by loc. cit.; the extra polynomial variables are then factored off. Here are the details:

(a) The pd-$S^\sharp$-envelope of i_Y equals that of $(Z, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{N})^{\text{int}}$, so we can assume that $(Y, \mathcal{N})$ is integral. The pd-$S^\sharp$-envelope of i_Y equals its pd-$Y^\sharp$-envelope, where $Y^\sharp = (Y, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_Y)$, so we can assume that $(Y, \mathcal{N}) = (S, \mathcal{L})$. The assertion has étale local nature, so we can assume that Z, Y are affine, Z closed in Y, and one has integral monoids M, N and charts $M \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$, $N \to \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{N})$.

(b) Pick a set of generators $\{m_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M. Let $i_V : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (V, \mathcal{K}) := \mathbb{A}^{(I)}_{(Y,\mathcal{N})}$ be the lifting of i_Y such that corresponds to $\{m_i\}$ (see 1.1). Let us show that i_V *admits a pd-$Y^\sharp$-envelope* $(\tilde{T}, \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{T}})$.

For a subset J of I let $M(J)$ be the submonoid of M generated by m_j, $j \in J$, and $\mathcal{M}(J) \to \mathcal{O}_Z$ be the log structure generated by prelog one $M(J)_Z \to \mathcal{O}_Z$; there is an evident morphism $\mathcal{M}(J) \to \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of triples $s = (N^s, I^s, \gamma^s)$, N^s is a finitely generated submonoid of N, I^s is a finite subset of I, $\gamma^s : N^s \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M}(I^s))$ is a map of monoids which lifts the composition $N^s \subset N \to \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{N}) \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M})$. The natural order on $\mathcal{S}$ makes it a directed set, and for every finitely generated $N' \subset N$ and finite $I' \subset I$ one can find $s \in \mathcal{S}$ with $N' \subset N^s$, $I' \subset I^s$.

For $s \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\mathcal{N}^s \to \mathcal{O}_Y$, $\mathcal{K}^s \to \mathcal{O}_V$ be the log structures generated by the prelog ones $N^s_Y \to \mathcal{O}_Y$, $N^s_V \oplus \mathbb{N}[I^s]_V \to \mathcal{O}_V$; set $\mathcal{M}^s := \mathcal{M}(I^s)$. The map of monoids $N^s \oplus \mathbb{N}[I^s] \to \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{M}^s)$, which is γ^s on the first component and the evident map on the second one, extends $Z \hookrightarrow V$ to a closed embedding of fine log schemes $i^s_V : (Z, \mathcal{M}^s) \hookrightarrow (V, \mathcal{K}^s)$. Let $(Z, \mathcal{M}^s) \hookrightarrow (\tilde{T}^s, \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{T}^s}) \to (V, \mathcal{K}^s)$ be its pd-$Y^{s\sharp}$-envelope, where $Y^{s\sharp} = (Y, \mathcal{N}^s, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_Y)$. By [K1] 5.4, it exists and $\tilde{T}^s$ is affine. When $s \in \mathcal{S}$ varies, the pd-envelopes form an $\mathcal{S}$-projective system. Its projective limit is the promised pd-$Y^\sharp$-envelope $(\tilde{T},\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{T}})$ of i_V. Indeed, $(\tilde{T},\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{T}})$ is evidently integral, hence it is quasi-coherent by Exercise (iii) in 1.1, so $(Z,\tilde{T})\in\mathcal{T}_{Y^\sharp}$, and the universality property is evident.

---

[8] I.e., $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ is a closed log subscheme of an open part of $(Y, \mathcal{N})$.

(c) As in 1.1, $(V,\mathcal{K})$ carries the $\mathbb{G}_m^I$-action.[9] By the universality property, the group pd-scheme $\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp I}$ (see 1.2) acts on $(\tilde{T},\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{T}})$. Let $(T,\mathcal{M}_T)$ be the quotient log pd-$Y^\sharp$-scheme, so $T=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{T})^{\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp I}})$ and $\mathcal{M}_T$ is the sheaf of $\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp I}$-invariant sections of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{T}}$. Let us show that the evident map $i_T\colon(S,\mathcal{M})\to(T,\mathcal{M}_T)$ is the pd-$Y^\sharp$-envelope of i_Y.

By construction, $(T,\mathcal{M}_T)$ is a log pd-$Y^\sharp$-scheme with T affine and $\mathcal{M}_T$ integral. i_T is a closed embedding of log schemes since $(S,\mathcal{M})$ is a closed log subscheme of $(Y,\mathcal{N})$. It is exact since i_V is exact. By Exercise (iii) in 1.1, $(T,\mathcal{M}_T)$ is quasi-coherent. Thus $(Z,T)\in\mathcal{T}_{Y^\sharp}$. It remains to prove the universality property.

Suppose $(Z',T')\in\mathcal{T}_{Y^\sharp}$ is such that the structure map $(T',\mathcal{M}'_{T'})\to(Y,\mathcal{N})$ sends $(Z',\mathcal{M}')$ to $(Z,\mathcal{M})$; we want to show that there is a unique $\mathcal{T}_{Y^\sharp}$-morphism $(Z',T')\to(Z,T)$. We can assume that Z' is affine, so the set of $\mathcal{T}_{Y^\sharp}$-morphisms $(Z',T')\to(Z,\tilde{T})$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp I}((Z',T'))$-torsor (see Exercise (iii) in 1.1). So there is a unique $(Z',T')\to(Z,T)$ that can be lifted to $(Z,\tilde{T})$. To finish the proof, it suffices to check that every $s:(Z,T)\to(Z,\tilde{T})$ is a section of the projection $p:(Z,\tilde{T})\to(Z,T)$. Now $ps=\mathrm{id}_{(Z,T)}$ amounts to $psp=p$, which is clear since $sp=g\cdot\mathrm{id}_{(Z,\tilde{T})}$ for some $g\in\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp I}((Z,\tilde{T}))$. $\square$

1.4. *Log pd-smooth thickenings.* An object (Z,T) of $\mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp}$ (see 1.3) is said to be *pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth* if the next condition is satisfied: Suppose we have $(Z',T')\in\mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp}$ such that Z' is affine (as a plain scheme); then any map of log $(S,\mathcal{L})$-schemes $(Z',\mathcal{M}')\to(Z,\mathcal{M})$ can be extended to a morphism $(Z',T')\to(Z,T)$ in $\mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp}$.

Remarks. (i) Suppose $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is an integral quasi-coherent log $S^\sharp$-scheme, and we have its closed embedding i into $\mathbb{A}^I_{(S,\mathcal{L})}\times_{(S,\mathcal{L})}\mathbb{A}^{(J)}_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$, where I, J are two sets (see 1.1). Then the pd-$S^\sharp$-envelope $(P,\mathcal{M}_P)$ of i is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth. We call such pd-$S^\sharp$-thickenings *coordinate* ones. If $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is log affine (see 1.1), then a coordinate thickening always exists, and an arbitrary $(Z,T)\in\mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp}$ is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth if and only if it is retract of a coordinate one.
(ii) Suppose $(S,\mathcal{L})$ and $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ are fine, and $i_Y:(Z,\mathcal{M})\hookrightarrow(Y,\mathcal{N})$ is a closed embedding of log $S^\sharp$-schemes with $(Y,\mathcal{M}_Y)$ log smooth over $(S,\mathcal{L})$ (see [K1] 3.3). Then its pd-$S^\sharp$-envelope is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth.
(iii) For any integral quasi-coherent log affine $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ over $S^\sharp$ there is a universal i as in (i): Take $I=\Gamma(Z,\mathcal{O}_Z)$, $J=\Gamma(Z,\mathcal{M})$, the embedding i is the evident one. The corresponding $(P^{\mathrm{univ}},\mathcal{M}_{P^{\mathrm{univ}}})$ depends on $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ in a functorial way.

Question. Is it true that property of being pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth is étale local?

1.5. *Log crystalline site.* For $S^\sharp$ as in 1.3 and a log $S^\sharp$-scheme $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ which is integral and quasi-coherent, the *log crystalline site* $((Z,\mathcal{M})/S^\sharp)_{\mathrm{crys}}=(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ is formed by pairs that consist of an étale Z-scheme U/Z and a pd-$S^\sharp$-thickening $(U,T)\in\mathcal{T}_{S^\sharp}$ of $(U,\mathcal{M}_U)$. The coverings are étale ones, i.e., collections of morphisms such that the maps of T's form an étale covering. The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$ of $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}(U,T):=\Gamma(T,\mathcal{O}_T)$; let $\mathcal{J}_{Z/S}$ be its canonical pd ideal,

---

[9] For $g=(g_i)\in\mathbb{G}_m^I$ one has $g^*(t_i)=g_i^{-1}t_i$.

$\mathcal{J}_{Z/S}(U,T) := \Gamma(T,\mathcal{J}_T)$, so $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}/\mathcal{J}_{Z/S}$ equals $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\text{ét}}}$. One has a canonical morphism of topoi $u^{\log}_{Z/S} : (Z/S)^{\log\,\sim}_{\text{crys}} \to Z^{\sim}_{\text{ét}}$, $u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})(U) := \Gamma((U/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}, \mathcal{F})$.

Remark. For $(Z,T) \in (Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$, any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ yield naturally a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}$ on $Z_{\text{ét}} = T_{\text{ét}}$ with $\Gamma(Z,\mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}) = \mathcal{F}(Z,T)$. The functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}$ is exact, so the evident natural map $u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}$ yields one $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}$.

Proposition. *The category $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ has finite inverse limits.*

Proof. It suffices to check that our category has finite products and fiber products.

(a) For $(U_i,T_i) \in (Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$, $i = 1,2$, let us construct their product (U,T). One has $U = U_1 \times_Z U_2$. To define T, consider the diagonal embedding $i_Y : (U,\mathcal{M}_U) \hookrightarrow (Y,\mathcal{N}) := (T_1,\mathcal{M}_{T_1}) \times (T_2,\mathcal{M}_{T_2})$. The ideal of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ generated by the pullbacks of $\mathcal{J}_{T_i} + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{T_i}$ is a pd-ideal, so we have the log pd-scheme $Y^\sharp$. Let (U,T') be the pd-$Y^\sharp$-envelope of i_Y (it is well defined since $(Y,\mathcal{N})$ is integral quasi-coherent). Our $(T,\mathcal{M}_T)$ is an exact closed log pd-subscheme of $(T',\mathcal{M}_{T'})$ whose pd-ideal $\mathcal{J}'_{T'} \subset \mathcal{J}_{T'}$ is defined as follows. Let p_i be the compositions $(T',\mathcal{M}_{T'}) \to (T_i,\mathcal{M}_{T_i}) \to (S,\mathcal{L})$. One can work étale locally on S, so we can assume that S is affine and $\mathcal{L}$ has a chart $L \to \Gamma(S,\mathcal{L})$. Now $\mathcal{J}'_{T'}$ is generated by sections $p_1^*(f) - p_2^*(f)$, $f \in \Gamma(S,\mathcal{O}_S)$, and $(p_1^*(\ell)/p_2^*(\ell)) - 1$, $\ell \in L$. Here $p_i^*(\ell)$ are sections of $\mathcal{M}_{T'}$ with the same image in $\mathcal{M}_U$, so their ratio is a section of $\mathcal{O}^\times_{T'}$ that equals 1 on U.[10] Since p_i coincide on $(T,\mathcal{M}_T)$, our (U,T) is an object of $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$. We leave it to the reader to check that it is the product of (U_i,T_i).

(b) For morphisms $(U_i,T_i) \to (V,Q)$, $i = 1,2$, in $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$, let us construct their fiber product (W,P). One has $W = U_1 \times_V U_2$. This is an open subset of $U_1 \times_Z U_2$; let (W,P') be the restriction to W of the product of (U_i,T_i) (see (a)). Our (W,P) is an exact closed log pd-subscheme of (W,P') whose pd-ideal $\mathcal{J}'_{P'} \subset \mathcal{J}_{P'}$ is defined as follows. Let q_i be the compositions $(P',\mathcal{M}_{P'}) \to (T_i,\mathcal{M}_{T_i}) \to (Q,\mathcal{M}_Q)$. We can work étale locally on V, so let us assume that V is affine and $\mathcal{M}_Q$ has a chart $M \to \Gamma(Q,\mathcal{M}_Q)$. Now $\mathcal{J}'_{P'}$ is generated by sections $q_1^*(g) - q_2^*(g)$, $g \in \Gamma(Q,\mathcal{O}_Q)$, and $(q_1^*(m)/q_2^*(m)) - 1$, $m \in M$. $\square$

Let $f : (Z',\mathcal{M}')/S'^\sharp \to (Z,\mathcal{M})/S^\sharp$ be a map of the above data. A presheaf $\mathcal{F}'$ on $(Z'/S')^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ yields a presheaf $f_{\text{crys}\,*}(\mathcal{F}')$ on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ with $f_{\text{crys}\,*}(\mathcal{F}')(U,T) := \Gamma(((U_{Z'},\mathcal{M}'_{U_{Z'}})/T^\sharp)_{\text{crys}},\mathcal{F}')$, where $U_{Z'} := U \times_Z Z'$, $T^\sharp := (T,\mathcal{M}_T,\mathcal{J}_T + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_T)$. If $\mathcal{F}'$ is a sheaf, then $f_{\text{crys}\,*}(\mathcal{F}')$ is a sheaf. There is an evident map $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S} \to f_{\text{crys}\,*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z'/S'})$.

Corollary. *$f_{\text{crys}\,*}$ defines a morphism of ringed topoi $f_{\text{crys}} : (Z'/S')^{\log\,\sim}_{\text{crys}} \to (Z/S)^{\log\,\sim}_{\text{crys}}$.*

Proof. For $(U',T') \in (Z'/S')^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ let $C_f = C_f(U',T')$ be the category of pairs $((U,T),g)$ where $(U,T) \in (Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$, $g : (U',T') \to (U,T)$ is a map of pd-$S^\sharp$-thickenings compatible with f. The proposition implies that C_f has finite inverse limits, so C_f° is directed. For a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$, its pullback $f^{-1}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{F})$ assigns to (U',T') the colimit of the functor $((U,T),g) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U,T)$ on C_f°.[11] If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf, then $f^*_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the sheaf associated with $f^{-1}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{F})$. Since C_f° is directed, f^{-1}_{crys} commutes with finite inverse limits, so same is true for f^*_{crys}. We are done. $\square$

---

[10] Since $(U,\mathcal{M}_U) \hookrightarrow (T',\mathcal{M}_{T'})$ is an exact embedding with nil ideal $\mathcal{J}_{T'}$, $\mathcal{M}_U$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_{T'}$ modulo the action of $1 + \mathcal{J}_{T'} \subset \mathcal{O}^\times_{T'}$, and this action is free since $\mathcal{M}_{T'}$ is integral.

[11] C_f° contains a small cofinal subcategory, so the colimit is well defined.

1.6. *Log crystalline cohomology.* For $(U,T)\in(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, let (U,T_*) be the restriction to $U\subset U\times_Z\ldots\times_Z U$ of the standard simplicial object of $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ with terms $(U,T_a):=(U,T)^{a+1}$ (the product is computed in $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, see Proposition in 1.5). The construction is natural and compatible with étale localization, hence any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ yields a cosimplicial sheaf $(U,T)\mapsto\mathcal{F}(U,T_*)$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of abelian groups, then let $\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}$ be the normalized complex of this cosimplicial sheaf. The functor $\mathcal{C}^\cdot$ is exact. There is an evident projection $\alpha:\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}$.

The embedding $H^0\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{F}$ yields an isomorphism $u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(H^0\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F})\overset{\sim}{\to}u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$, so we have a natural map $\beta:u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})\to u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F})$ right inverse to $u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\alpha)$.

Suppose we have $(Z,T)\in(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. Due to exactness of $\mathcal{C}^\cdot$, the natural map $u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F})\to\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}$ yields one $R(u^{\log}_{Z/S*}\mathcal{C}^\cdot)(\mathcal{F})\to\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}$.

Proposition. *(i) One has* $R(u^{\log}_{Z/S*}\mathcal{C}^\cdot)(\mathcal{F})\overset{\sim}{\to}Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$.
(ii) If $(Z,P)\in(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ *is pd-*$S^\sharp$*-smooth, then* $R(u^{\log}_{Z/S*}\mathcal{C}^\cdot)(\mathcal{F})\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}_{(Z,P)}$. *Thus*

$$Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}_{(Z,P)}. \tag{1.6.1}$$

Proof. Deriving α and β, we get $R(u^{\log}_{Z/S*}\mathcal{C}^\cdot)(\mathcal{F})\leftrightarrows Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$ whose composition in one direction is identity; we want to check they are mutually inverse. The problem is local, so we can assume that there is P as in (ii) and Z is affine. Since (Z,P) is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth, one has $u^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})\overset{\sim}{\to}H^0\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}_{(Z,P)}$. To prove (i), (ii), it suffices then to find for every $\mathcal{F}$ an embedding $\mathcal{F}\hookrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $H^{\neq0}\mathcal{C}^\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{(Z,P)}=0$.

For $(Z,T)\in(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ consider simplicial object $(Z,P_*)\times(Z,T)$ augmented over (Z,T). Any map $(Z,T)\to(Z,P)$ yields its contraction in the usual way; such map exists since (Z,P) is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth. So the sheaf $(U,T')\mapsto\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(U,T'):=\mathcal{F}((U,T')\times(Z,T))$ satisfies $H^{\neq0}\mathcal{C}^\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{(Z,P)}=0$. If (Z,T) is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth (say, $(Z,T)=(Z,P)$), then the evident map $\nu:\mathcal{F}\to\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is injective.[12] We are done. □

Remark. (i) Suppose (Z,P) is a coordinate thickening as in Remark (i) in 1.4. The $\mathbb{G}_a^I\times\mathbb{G}_m^J$-action on $\mathbb{A}^I_{(S,\mathcal{L})}\times_{(S,\mathcal{L})}\mathbb{A}^{(J)}_{(S,\mathcal{L})}$ (see 1.1)[13] yields, by universality, a $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp I}\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp J}$-action on (Z,P) (see 1.2). Then (Z,P) is a $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp I}\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp J}$-torsor on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. Thus $(Z,P_a)=(\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp I}\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp J})^a\times(Z,P)$ and (Z,P_*) is the "universal simplicial $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp I}\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp J}$-quotient" for the $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp I}\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\sharp J}$-action on (Z,P).
(ii) If (Z,P) is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth, then it is a covering of $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$.
(iii) If no pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth (Z,P) is available, one can compute $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$ by either of the next procedures:
- By Remark (i) in 1.4 and Proposition in 1.5, any étale hypercovering $p:U.\to Z$ with log affine $(U_0,\mathcal{M}_{U_0})$ has a pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth thickening $(U.,P.)$, so $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})\overset{\sim}{\to}Rp_*\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}_{(U.,P.)}$.
- Replace $Z_{\text{ét}}$ by an equivalent topology $Z_{\text{ét}'}$ formed by those étale U/Z that $(U,\mathcal{M}_U)$ is log affine. Then $U\mapsto\Gamma(U,\mathcal{C}^\cdot\mathcal{F}_{(U,P^{\mathrm{univ}})})$ (see Remark (iii) in 1.4) is a presheaf on $Z_{\text{ét}'}$; its sheafification equals $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$.

---

[12] Indeed, for U affine one can find a map $(U,T')\to(Z,T)$, which yields a left inverse to ν.

[13] An element $((h_i),(g_j))_{i\in I,j\in J}\in\mathbb{G}_a^I\times\mathbb{G}_m^J$ acts as $t_i\mapsto t_i-h_i$, $t_j\mapsto g_i^{-1}t_j$.

- Consider for each $U \in X_{\text{ét}}$ the category $\mathcal{S}(U)$ of its pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth thickenings. Then[14] the presheaf $U \mapsto \operatorname{holim}_{(U,P)\in\mathcal{S}(U)} R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}\mathcal{F}_{(U,P)})$ represents $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$.

1.7. *Log $\mathcal{O}$-crystals and connections.* For $(U,T) \in (Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ its *de Rham pd-algebra* $\Omega^{\cdot}_{(U,T)/S}$ is the quotient of the relative log de Rham algebra $\Omega^{\cdot}_{(T,\mathcal{M}_T)/(S,\mathcal{L})}$ modulo the relations $d(u^{[n]}) = u^{[n-1]}du$, $u \in \mathcal{J}_T$. This is a sheaf of commutative dg $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebras on $T_{\text{ét}}$ whose terms are quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_T$-modules (since $(T,\mathcal{M}_T)$ and $(S,\mathcal{L})$ are quasi-coherent). It carries the *Hodge pd-filtration* F^m, $F^m\Omega^a_{(Z,T)/S} := \mathcal{J}_T^{[m-a]}\Omega^a_{(Z,T)/S}$; one has $F^m \cdot F^\ell \subset F^{m+\ell}$. We get the sheaf of filtered commutative dg algebras $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}$, $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}(U,T) := \Gamma(T, \Omega^{\cdot}_{(U,T)/S})$, on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$.

Exercises. (i) Let $T^\flat_* \subset T_*$ be the closed exact log subscheme defined by the simplicial pd-ideal generated by $\mathcal{J}_\Delta^{[2]}$, where $\mathcal{J}_\Delta$ is the ideal of $T = T_0 \subset T_1$ (see 1.6). Show that the normalization of the cosimplicial algebra $\mathcal{O}_{T^\flat_*}$ equals $\Omega^{\cdot}_{(U,T)/S}$.
(ii) If (U,T) is the pd-envelope of $(U,\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (Y,\mathcal{N})$ as in (1.3.1), then the composition $r^*\Omega^1_{(Y,\mathcal{N})/(S,\mathcal{L})} \xrightarrow{r^*} \Omega^1_{(T,\mathcal{M}_T)/(S,\mathcal{L})} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega^1_{(U,T)/S}$ is an isomorphism.
(iii) If (U,T) is a pd-smooth thickening and U is affine, then $\Omega^1_{Z/S}(U,T)$ is a projective $\Gamma(T,\mathcal{O}_T)$-module.[15]

A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-modules on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ is said to be $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$*-crystal* if for every morphism $\phi : (U',T') \to (U,T)$ in $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ the pullback map $\phi^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{(U,T)} \to \mathcal{F}_{(U',T')}$ yields an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$-modules $\phi^*\mathcal{F}_{(U,T)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{(U',T')}$. For such an $\mathcal{F}$, let $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F}$ be the normalization of the cosimplicial sheaf $(U,T) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U,T^\flat_*)$. By Exercise (i), $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F}$ is a dg $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}$-module and $\Omega^i_{Z/S}\mathcal{F} = \Omega^i_{Z/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}} \mathcal{F}$. So $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F}$ is the de Rham complex for the flat connection $\nabla := d^0 : \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^1_{Z/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}} \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem. *Suppose (Z,P) is a pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth thickening. Then the connection $\nabla_{(Z,P)} : \mathcal{F}_{(Z,P)} \to \Omega^1_{(Z,P)/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} \mathcal{F}_{(Z,P)}$ is quasi-nilpotent (see* [K1] *6.2). The functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_{(Z,P)}, \nabla_{(Z,P)})$ is an equivalence between the category of $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-crystals and that of $\mathcal{O}_P$-modules equipped with an integrable quasi-nilpotent connection.*

Proof. This is theorem 6.2 from [K1] (it is stated in loc. cit. under the assumption that $\mathcal{M}$ is fine; the proof works in our setting as well). □

1.8. *Comparison with the de Rham cohomology.* Below we call $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ and $R\Gamma((Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}) = R\Gamma(Z_{\text{ét}}, Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}))$ simply *the log crystalline complexes.* These are E_∞ algebras. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-crystal. Then $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$ is a $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$-module, $R\Gamma((Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}, \mathcal{F})$ is an $R\Gamma((Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-module.

Theorem. *(i) The evident projection $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$ yields a quasi-isomorphism $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$.*
(ii) For any pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth $(Z,P) \in (Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ the natural map $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F}) \to (\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,P)}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Therefore

$$Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,P)}. \tag{1.8.1}$$

---

[14] To define holim, one should take care of the set-theoretic difficulties.

[15] Our assertion is local, so we can assume that $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is log affine; by Exercise (i) in 1.4, we can assume that (Z,T) is a coordinate thickening; now the assertion follows from (ii).

Proof. The assertions are local, so we can assume that we have (Z,P) as in (ii) and $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is log affine (see 1.1). For $(Z,T)\in(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ let (Z,Q) be the product of (Z,T) and (Z,P) in $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$.

Lemma. *The evident map* $\mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}\to(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/T}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,Q)}$ *is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof of Lemma. By Remark (i) in 1.4, (Z,P) is a retract of a coordinate pd-$S^\sharp$-thickening (Z,P'). Let (Z,Q') be the product of (Z,P') and (Z,T). Then $\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,Q)/T}$ is a retract of $\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,Q')/T}$, so the assertion of the lemma for $\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,Q')/T}$ implies that for $\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,Q)/T}$ (since the map $\mathcal{F}_{(Z,T)}\to H^0(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/T}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,Q)}$ is injective). By Remark (i) in 1.6, (Z,Q') is a $\mathbb{G}^{\sharp I}_m\times\mathbb{G}^{\sharp J}_a$-torsor over (Z,T), so the lemma follows from the evident computation of the de Rham pd-complexes of $\mathbb{G}^\sharp_m$ and $\mathbb{G}^\sharp_a$. □

Returning to the theorem, pick a pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth (Z,T) (say, a copy of (Z,P)). Let (Z,Q_*) be the product of (Z,T_*) and (Z,P); this is a simplicial object of $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$ augmented over (Z,P). We have the cosimplicial complex $(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/T_*}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,Q_*)}$; consider its total complex. By the lemma, the map $(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,T)}\to(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/T_*}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,Q_*)}$ that comes from the projection $(Z,Q_*)\to(Z,T_*)$, is a quasi-isomorphism. The augmentation $(Z,Q_*)\to(Z,P)$ yields a map $(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,P)}\to(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/T_*}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,Q_*)}$ which is also a quasi-isomorphism.[16] Let δ_P be the composition $(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,T)}\overset{\sim}{\to}(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/T_*}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,Q_*)}\overset{\sim}{\leftarrow}(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,P)}$. Since δ_P's are compatible with maps between P's, we see that the simplicial structure maps $(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,P_i)}\to(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,P_j)}$ are quasi-isomorphisms. Now both (ii) and (i) follow from the proposition in 1.6. NB: (1.8.1) equals δ_P. □

Remarks. (i) If no global (Z,P) as in above is available, then one can compute $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})$ using (1.8.1) and Remark (iii) in 1.6.
(ii) If $(S,\mathcal{L})$ is fine and $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is log smooth over $(S,\mathcal{L})$, then (1.8.1) implies that

$$Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F})\overset{\sim}{\to}(\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,\mathcal{M})}. \tag{1.8.2}$$

(iii) The map $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\to\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$ sends $F^m\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{J}^{[m]}_{Z/S}\mathcal{F}$ (see 1.7 for the notation). The quasi-isomorphisms in the statement of the proposition are, in fact, filtered quasi-isomorphisms for these filtrations. The proof is the same - just replace "quasi-isomorphism" in it by "filtered quasi-isomorphism".
(iv) Quasi-isomorphism (1.8.1) coincides, via (1.6.1), with (the normalization of) the restriction map of cosimplicial sheaves $\mathcal{F}_{P_*}\to\mathcal{F}_{P^\flat_*}$.

1.9. *Comparison with derived de Rham cohomology.* We discuss log version of Illusie's comparison theorem [Ill2] Ch. VIII, 2.2.8 due to Olsson [Ol1] 6.10, 8.34.

Let $L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})}$ be the derived de Rham complex (we use Gabber's construction [O] §8 to be recalled in a moment; see [B] 3.1 for a short review and the notation used below). This is a commutative dg $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra on $Z_{\text{ét}}$ equipped with the Hodge filtration F^m. Let us define a natural morphism of filtered E$_\infty$ $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebras

$$L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})}\to Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}). \tag{1.9.1}$$

---

[16] To see this, it suffices to check that each map $(\Omega^a_{Z/S}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,P)}\to(\Omega^a_{Z/T_*}\mathcal{F})_{(Z,Q_*)}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The case $a=0$ was checked in the proof of the proposition in 1.6; the general case follows by base change since $\Omega^a_{(Z,P)/S}$ is $\mathcal{O}_P$-flat (see Exercise (iii) in 1.7).

Assume for simplicity that $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$, $\mathcal{L}$ comes from a prelog structure $L \to A$.[17] For a log affine $U \in Z_{\text{ét}}$ set $B := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$, $M := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_U)$, so we have $(B,M)/(A,L) \in \mathcal{C}_{(A,L)}$. Let $P(U). = P_{(A,L)}(B,M).$ be its canonical simplicial resolution (see [O] 8.3), and $\Omega^{\cdot}_{P(U)./(A,L)}$ be the relative log de Rham complex, which is a simplicial dg algebra. Let $L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(B,M)/(A,L)}$ be the total complex, $L\Omega^n_{(B,M)/(A,L)} = \oplus_i \, \Omega^i_{P(U)_{i-n}/(A,L)}$, filtered by the Hodge filtration $F^m := \oplus_{i \geq m} \Omega^i_{P(U)_{i-n}/(A,L)}$. The filtered complex of presheaves $U \mapsto L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(B,M)/(A,L)}$ on $Z_{\text{ét}'}$ represents $L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})}$.

Let (U, T^a) be the pd-$S^\sharp$-completion of the embedding $(U, \mathcal{M}_U) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} P(U)_a$;[18] this is a cosimplicial object in $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\text{crys}}$. Each (U, T^a) is pd-$S^\sharp$-smooth (see Remark (i) in 1.4), so one has the filtered quasi-isomorphism $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})|_{U_{\text{ét}}} \overset{\sim}{\to} \Omega^{\cdot}_{(U,T^a)/S}$ (see 1.8). Let $\Omega^{\natural\cdot}_{Z/S}(U)$ be the total complex of the simplicial dg algebra $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}(U, T^{\cdot})$, $\Omega^{\natural n}_{Z/S}(U) = \oplus_i \, \Omega^i_{Z/S}(U, T^{i-n})$, filtered by the Hodge-pd filtration. We see that $\Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}(U, T^0) \hookrightarrow \Omega^{\natural\cdot}_{Z/S}(U)$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism, so $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ is represented by the filtered complex of presheaves $U \mapsto \Omega^{\natural\cdot}_{Z/S}(U)$.

Now the de Rham pullback $r^* : \Omega^{\cdot}_{P(U)./(A,L)} \to \Omega^{\cdot}_{Z/S}(U, T^{\cdot})$ for the map of log schemes $r : T^{\cdot} \to \operatorname{Spec} P(U).$ (see (1.3.1)) sends the Hodge filtration to the Hodge-pd one, and (1.9.1) is the map between the total complexes.

Theorem. *Suppose $(Z, \mathcal{M})$, $(S, \mathcal{L})$ are fine and $f : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \to (S, \mathcal{L})$ is an integral locally log complete intersection morphism. Then (1.9.1) yields quasi-isomorphisms*

$$L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})}/F^m \overset{\sim}{\to} Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}/\mathcal{J}^{[m]}_{Z/S}). \tag{1.9.2}$$

Proof. The claim is local, so we can assume that there is a fine chart $L \to M$ for f (see 1.1) such that the map $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[L]) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[M])$ is flat and the map $i : Z \to Y := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[M]) \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[L])} S$ is a complete intersection embedding. By abuse of notation, denote by $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ the log structures defined by the charts M on Y, $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[M])$ and L on $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[L])$. The log cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[M]),\mathcal{M})/(\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[L]),\mathcal{L})}$ equals $\mathrm{L}_{(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[M],M)/(\mathbb{Z}/p^n[L],L)} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{Z}/p^n[M] \otimes M^{\text{gr}}$. Therefore, due to the flatness, $\mathrm{L}_{(Y,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})} \overset{\sim}{\to} \Omega^1_{(Y,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes M^{\text{gr}}$, where $d\log(c_M(m))$ is identified with $\alpha c_M(m) \otimes m$ (see 1.1 for the notation). One has $\mathrm{L}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(Y,\mathcal{M})} = \mathrm{L}_{Z/Y} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{O}^m_Z[1]$, where the generators $e_1, \dots, e_m$ of $\mathcal{O}^m_Z$ correspond to complete intersection parameters $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathcal{O}_Y$. By the transitivity, $\mathrm{L}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S/\mathcal{L})} = \mathcal{C}one(\delta : \mathcal{O}^m_Z \to \Omega^1_{(Y,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})}|_Z)$, $\delta(e_i) = dt_i|_Z$. Thus $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})} = L\Lambda^{\cdot}\mathcal{C}one(\delta)$. By [Ill1] Ch. I, 4.3.2.1(ii), this is $\mathcal{O}_Z\langle e_1, \dots, e_m\rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^{\cdot}_{(Y,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})}$, where the first factor is the divided powers polynomial algebra.

We compute $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ using (1.8.1) with (Z, P) equal to the pd-envelope of $i : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{M})$. Since i is exact, P is the pd-envelope of $Z \hookrightarrow Y$, so $\oplus \, \mathcal{J}^{[m]}_P/\mathcal{J}^{[m+1]}_P \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{O}_Z\langle e_1, \dots, e_m\rangle$. So, by Remark (iii) in 1.8, $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{O}_Z\langle e_1, \dots, e_m\rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega^{\cdot}_{(Y,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})}$. The above two identifications provide an isomorphism of dg algebras $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$, which clearly coincides with the associated graded isomorphism to (1.9.1), q.e.d. □

---

[17] Otherwise do the construction étale locally on S.

[18] Notice that (U, T^0) is (U, P^{univ}) from Remark (iii) in 1.4.

Remark. The assertion remains true, by the direct limit argument, if $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is projective limit of log $(S,\mathcal{L})$-schemes $(Z_\alpha,\mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ as in the theorem with respect to a directed family of affine transition maps.

1.10. *The Cartier isomorphism.* For this section, *S is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme.* Let $(Y,\mathcal{N})$ be any log $S^\sharp$-scheme. Then for any $(V,T)\in(Y/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ the Frobenius map Fr_T kills $\mathcal{J}_T$ since it is a pd-ideal, i.e., Fr_T factors as $T\to V\hookrightarrow T$; denote the first arrow by Fr'_T. The datum of all maps Fr'_T forms an extension of the canonical morphism of topoi $u^{\log}_{Y/S}:(Y/S)^{\log\,\tilde{}}_{\mathrm{crys}}\to Y_{\tilde{\text{ét}}}$ (see 1.5) to a morphism Fr'_Y of the *ringed* topoi.

Let $f:(Z,\mathcal{M})\to(Y,\mathcal{N})$ be a log smooth map of Cartier type between fine log $S^\sharp$-schemes; assume that $f:Z\to Y$ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated.

Theorem. *The $\mathcal{O}_{Y/S}$-complex $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$ carries a natural finite filtration $\mathfrak{c}_\cdot$, called the conjugate filtration, together with canonical Cartier quasi-isomorphisms*

$$C=C^q_f:\ gr^{\mathfrak{c}}_q Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}\overset{\sim}{\to} Fr'^*_Y Rf_*\Omega^q_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(Y,\mathcal{N})}. \tag{1.10.1}$$

Remark. The conditions imply that $\Omega^q_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(Y,\mathcal{N})}$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_Z$-modules of finite rank, f is flat, and $Rf_*\Omega^q_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(Y,\mathcal{N})}$ are quasi-coherent of finite Tor-dimension.

Proof. For (V,T) as above, one has $(Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})(V,T)=R\Gamma((Z_V/T)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{O}_{Z_V/T})$ $=R\Gamma(Z_V,Ru^{\log}_{Z_V/T}\mathcal{O}_{Z_V/T})$. The canonical filtration on $Ru^{\log}_{Z_V/T}\mathcal{O}_{Z_V/T}$ yields thus a filtration $\mathfrak{c}_{(V,T)\cdot}$ on $(Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})(V,T)$. The filtrations $\mathfrak{c}_{(V,T)\cdot}$ are compatible with morphisms of (V,T). They form the promised $\mathfrak{c}_\cdot$.

The Cartier isomorphisms have local nature: they come from quasi-isomorphisms

$$C:H^qRu^{\log}_{Z_V/T*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_V/T})\overset{\sim}{\to}\Omega^q_{(Z_V,\mathcal{M})/(V,\mathcal{N})}\otimes_{f_V^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V}f_V^{-1}\mathcal{O}_T, \tag{1.10.2}$$

where $\otimes$ in the r.h.s. is taken for the map $Fr'^*_T:\mathcal{O}_V\to\mathcal{O}_T$, by applying $R\Gamma(Z_V,\cdot)$.

Let us define (1.10.2). Its inverse C^{-1} is uniquely defined by the property that it is a map of graded $f_V^{-1}\mathcal{O}_T$-algebras such that for $f\in\mathcal{O}_{Z_V}$, $m\in\mathcal{M}$ one has $C^{-1}(f)=f^*(t^p)$ and $C^{-1}(d\log m)=m^*(d\log t)$. Here f, m at the r.h.s. are viewed as the $\mathbb{A}^1_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}$- and $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}$-valued maps, and t^p, $d\log t$ are the standard cohomology classes in $H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}/(T,\mathcal{N}_T))=H^0R\Gamma((\mathbb{A}^1_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}/(T,\mathcal{N}_T))_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}/T})$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{A}^{(1)}_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}/(T,\mathcal{N}_T))=H^1R\Gamma((\mathbb{A}^{(1)}_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}/(T,\mathcal{N}_T))_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1_{(T,\mathcal{N}_T)}/T})$ (see 1.1, (1.8.2)). Therefore it suffices to find one such C^{-1} locally. By Remark (ii) in 1.1, we can assume that $(Z_V,\mathcal{M})$ extends in a log smooth way over $(T,\mathcal{N}_T)$, and then use [K1] 4.12(1) (via (1.8.2)). □

1.11. *Perfect crystals and base change.* We are in general situation of 1.5, so $S^\sharp$ is as in 1.3. Let $(Y,\mathcal{N})$ be any integral quasi-coherent log $S^\sharp$-scheme.

A complex $\mathcal{F}^\cdot$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y/S}$-modules on $(Y/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ is said to be *perfect $\mathcal{O}_{Y/S}$-crystal* if for every $(V,T)\in(Y/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ the complex $\mathcal{F}^\cdot_{(V,T)}$ is $\mathcal{O}_T$-perfect and for every $\phi:(V',T')\to(V,T)$ in $(Y/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ the pullback map $\phi^{-1}\mathcal{F}^\cdot_{(V,T)}\to\mathcal{F}^\cdot_{(V',T')}$ yields a quasi-isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$-complexes $L\phi^*\mathcal{F}^\cdot_{(V,T)}\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathcal{F}^\cdot_{(V',T')}$. Perfect crystals form a triangulated category $D^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Y/S)$ which is a full triangulated subcategory in the derived category of $\mathcal{O}_{Y/S}$-modules $D^b((Y/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{O}_{Y/S})$.

Let now $\theta : (Y^\nu, \mathcal{N}^\nu)/S^{\nu\sharp} \to (Y, \mathcal{N})/S^\sharp$ be a map of data as above.

Exercise. If $\mathcal{F}^\cdot$ is a perfect $\mathcal{O}_{Y/S}$-crystal, then $L\theta^*_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{F}^\cdot)$ is a perfect $\mathcal{O}_{Y^\nu/S^\nu}$-crystal. For any $(V,T) \in (Y/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, $(V^\nu, T^\nu) \in (Y^\nu/S^\nu)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, and a map of log pd-thickenings $\theta_{T^\nu/T} : (V^\nu, T^\nu) \to (V,T)$ compatible with θ, one has a canonical identification $L\theta^*_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{F}^\cdot)_{(V^\nu,T^\nu)} \xrightarrow{\sim} L\theta^*_{T^\nu/T}(\mathcal{F}^\cdot_{(V,T)})$.

The next version of base change theorem [K1] 6.10 is sufficient for our purposes. Let $f : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \to (Y, \mathcal{N})$ be a log smooth map of Cartier type of fine log schemes over $S_1^\sharp := S^\sharp \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ such that Z is proper over Y. For θ as above, let $f^\nu : (Z^\nu, \mathcal{M}^\nu) \to (Y^\nu, \mathcal{N}^\nu)$ be the θ-pullback of f. Then Z is flat over Y (by [K1] 4.5), and $(Z^\nu, \mathcal{M}^\nu)$ is integral quasi-coherent (by [K1] 4.3.1 and Exercise (ii) in 1.1).

Theorem. *The complex $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ is a perfect $\mathcal{O}_{Y/S}$-crystal, and one has a canonical identification*

$$L\theta^*_{\mathrm{crys}} Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Y/S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf^\nu_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^\nu/S^\nu}). \tag{1.11.1}$$

Proof. We can assume that Y is affine. Computing $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ using a finite covering of Z by affine opens, we get a finite filtration on it with $\mathrm{gr}.Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ equal to a finite direct sum of complexes of type $Rf_{U\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{U/S})$, U is affine. Using Remark (ii) in 1.1 and (1.8.2), we see that each $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})_{(V,T)}$ can be realized as a finite complex of flat $\mathcal{O}_T$-modules, for every $\phi : (V', T') \to (V,T)$ in $(Y/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ the pullback map yields a quasi-isomorphism $L\phi^* Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})_{(V,T)} \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})_{(V',T')}$, and the pullback map for θ yields quasi-isomorphism (1.11.1).

It remains to check that the complexes $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})_{(V,T)}$ are $\mathcal{O}_T$-perfect. By the above, $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S})_{(V,T)} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_T} \mathcal{O}_{T_1} = Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S_1})_{(V,T_1)}$, where $T_1 := T \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. Since p is nilpotent in $\mathcal{O}_T$, it suffices to check that $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/S_1})_{(V,T_1)}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{T_1}$-perfect, which follows from (1.10.1). □

1.12. *The p-adic setting; absolute crystalline cohomology.* One can generalize slightly the setting for log crystalline cohomology taking for $S^\sharp = (S, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ a *formal p-adic log pd-scheme*, which is the same as sequence of exact closed embeddings of log pd-schemes $S_1^\sharp \hookrightarrow S_2^\sharp \hookrightarrow \dots$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{S_{n-1}} = \mathcal{O}_{S_n} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}$, $\mathcal{I}_{n-1} = \mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{O}_{S_{n-1}}$. Assume that $(S, \mathcal{L})$ is quasi-coherent, i.e., all $(S_n, \mathcal{L}_n)$ are quasi-coherent. For an integral quasi-coherent log $S^\sharp$-scheme $(Z, \mathcal{M})$, which is a log $S_n^\sharp$-scheme for n sufficiently large, one defines its log crystalline site $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ as in 1.5. Notice that one has fully faithful embeddings $(Z/S_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}} \hookrightarrow (Z/S_{n+1})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}} \hookrightarrow \dots$, and $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}} = \cup (Z/S_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. The constructions and results of 1.5 remain true in the present setting.

For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_n$ its restriction to $(Z/S_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$; one has $\mathcal{F}_n = i^*_{n\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{F})$ where $i_n : (Z, \mathcal{M})/S_n^\sharp \to (Z, \mathcal{M})/S^\sharp$ is the evident map. The functor $i^*_{n\mathrm{crys}}$ admits an evident exact left adjoint $i_{n\mathrm{crys}!}$, so $i^*_{n\mathrm{crys}}$ sends injective sheaves to injective ones. Therefore the functors $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}$, Lf^*_{crys} commute with the passage $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_n$, and one has $Ru^{\log}_{Z/S*}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{holim}_n Ru^{\log}_{Z/S_n*}(\mathcal{F}_n)$, $R\Gamma((Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{holim}_n R\Gamma((Z/S_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{F}_n)$.

For a perfect field k of characteristic p, consider $S_n^\sharp = \operatorname{Spec} W_n(k)$ equipped with the trivial log structure and $\mathcal{I} = pW_n(k)$ with the standard pd structure. Denote this $S^\sharp$ simply by $W^\sharp = W(k)^\sharp$. Any integral quasi-coherent log k-scheme

$(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is automatically a log $W^\sharp$-scheme. We call $((Z,\mathcal{M})/W^\sharp)_{\mathrm{crys}}$ the *absolute crystalline site*, so we have the corresponding *absolute log crystalline complexes* $Ru^{\log}_{Z/W*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/W})$, $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M})_n := R\Gamma(((Z,\mathcal{M})/W^\sharp_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z/W_n})$, and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M})$ $:= R\Gamma(((Z,\mathcal{M})/W^\sharp)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z/W}) = \mathrm{holim}_n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M})_n = R\Gamma(Z_{\text{ét}}, Ru^{\log}_{Z/W*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z/W}))$. Set $H^i_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M}) := H^i R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M})$.

If $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ is an integral quasi-coherent log $W(k)$-scheme, then for $n \geq 1$ we set $(Z,\mathcal{M})_n := (Z_n,\mathcal{M}_n)$, where $Z_n := Z \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(k)$ and $\mathcal{M}_n$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{M}$ to $Z_n \subset Z$. We have the absolute log crystalline complexes $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M})_n := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_1,\mathcal{M}_1)_n$ and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M}) := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_1,\mathcal{M}_1) = \mathrm{holim}_n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M})_n$, which are E_∞ $W_n(k)$- and $W(k)$-algebras.

Remark. If Z is a flat $W(k)$-scheme, then $(Z,\mathcal{M})_n$ is a log $W^\sharp$-scheme, and its log crystalline complex equals $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z,\mathcal{M})$ by the crystalline invariance property.

1.13. *A digression on difference equations.* Let R be a ring, φ_R its endomorphism. Let R_φ be the associative algebra generated by its subring R and φ with relation $\varphi r = \varphi_R(r)\varphi$, $r \in R$. Thus a (left) R_φ-module is the same as a left R-module F equipped with a φ_R-semilinear endomorphism φ_F called *φ-action*; we usually abbreviate (F,φ_F) to F. Denote by R_φ-mod the abelian category of R_φ-modules and by $D_\varphi(R)$ its derived category.

For $F_1, F_2 \in R_\varphi$-mod consider the map $\delta : \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_1,F_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}^{(\varphi)}_R(F_1,F_2)$, $\delta = \delta' - \delta''$, $\delta'(\xi) := \xi\varphi_{F_1}$, $\delta''(\xi) := \varphi_{F_2}\xi$, where $\mathrm{Hom}^{(\varphi)}_R$ is the group of φ-semilinear maps, so $\mathrm{Ker}(\delta) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_\varphi}(F_1,F_2)$. Set $\mathrm{Hom}^\natural_{R_\varphi}(F_1,F_2) := \mathcal{C}one(\delta)[-1]$.

Exercises. (i) The map $R\mathrm{Hom}_{R_\varphi}(F_1,F_2) \to R\mathrm{Hom}^\natural_{R_\varphi}(F_1,F_2)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, and $R\mathrm{Hom}^\natural_{R_\varphi}(F_1,F_2)$ equals $\mathcal{C}one(R\mathrm{Hom}_R(F_1,F_2) \to R\mathrm{Hom}^{(\varphi)}_R(F_1,F_2))[-1]$ where $R\mathrm{Hom}_R$, $R\mathrm{Hom}^{(\varphi)}_R$ are computed in the derived category of R-modules.[19]
(ii) One has $\mathrm{Hom}^\natural_{R_\varphi}(F_1,F_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_\varphi}(F_1^l,F_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_\varphi}(F_1,F_2^r)$ where F_1^l, F_2^r are natural left and right two-term resolutions of F_1, F_2. Explicitly, $F_1^{l0} = R_\varphi \otimes_R F_1 = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\varphi_R^{n*}F_1$, where $\varphi_R^{n*}F_1 := R \otimes_{\varphi^n} F_1$, $F_1^{l\,-1} = (\varphi_R^*F_1)^{l0}$ (so if F_1 is R-projective, then the resolution F_1^l is R_φ-projective), and $F_2^{r0} = \Pi_{n\geq 0}\phi^n_{R*}F_2$, $F_2^{r\,1} = (\varphi_{R*}F_2)^{r\,0}$.

An R_φ-complex is said to be *R-perfect* if it is perfect as a complex of R-modules; such objects form a thick subcategory $D^{\mathrm{perf}}_\varphi(R)$ of $D(R_\varphi)$. Let R^{prf}_φ-mod be the category of R_φ-modules which are finitely generated and projective as R-modules. An R_φ-module M is said to be *nondegenerate* if the R-linear extension $\varphi^l_M : \varphi^*_R M \to M$ of φ_M is an isogeny, i.e., $\varphi^*_R M \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes \mathbb{Q}$; an R_φ-complex C is *nondegenerate* if the composition $L\varphi^*_R C \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \varphi^*_R C \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to C \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Denote by $?^{\mathrm{nd}}$ the subcategory of ? formed by nondegenerate objects, e.g. we have a triangulated category $D^{\mathrm{perf}}_\varphi(R)^{\mathrm{nd}}$.

Lemma. *(i) R^{prf}_φ-mod generates $D^{\mathrm{perf}}_\varphi(R)$ as a triangulated category.*
(ii) If R is a mixed characteristic dvr, then R^{prf}_φ-mod$^{\mathrm{nd}}$ generates $D^{\mathrm{perf}}_\varphi(R)^{\mathrm{nd}}$.

Proof. (i) Let C be an R-perfect R_φ-complex. As an R-complex, it is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of finitely generated projective R-modules of finite amplitude $[a,b]$, $a \geq b$. We show that C lies in the triangulated subcategory $D^{\mathrm{prf}}_\varphi(R)$ of $D^{\mathrm{perf}}_\varphi(R)$ generated by R^{prf}_φ-mod using induction by $a-b$.

---

[19] Hint: δ is surjective when F_1 is a free R_φ-module, and R_φ is free as a (left) R-module.

If $a = b$, then $C \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^a C)[-a]$, $H^a C \in R_\varphi^{\mathrm{prf}}$-mod, and we are done. Suppose $n = a - b \geq 1$. Then $H^a C$ is a finitely generated R-module. Pick a surjection $P \twoheadrightarrow H^a C$ where P is a finitely generated projective R-module. The φ-action on $H^a C$ can be lifted to P, i.e., there is a φ-action φ_P on P such that $P \twoheadrightarrow H^a C$ is a map of of R_φ-modules. Consider the projective R_φ-resolution P^l of $P = (P, \varphi_P)$. The above surjection lifts to a map of R_φ-complexes $g : P^l[-a] \to C$. Since P^l is quasi-isomorphic to P, C lies in $D_\varphi^{\mathrm{prf}}(R)$ if (and only if) $\mathcal{C}one(g)$ lies in $D_\varphi^{\mathrm{prf}}(R)$, and the latter assertion is true by the induction assumption, q.e.d.

(ii) To make the proof of (i) work in the present situation, it suffices to check that for C nondegenerate and any $P \twoheadrightarrow H^a C$ as in loc. cit., one can choose φ_P so that (P, φ_P) is nondegenerate. Let φ_P be any lifting of the φ-action on $H^a C$. Let $Q \subset P$ be the kernel of the map $P \to H^a C \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then φ_P preserves Q, P/Q is a projective R-module, and $(P/Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^a C \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, so $(P/Q, \varphi_{P/Q}) \in R_\varphi^{\mathrm{prf}}\text{-mod}^{\mathrm{nd}}$. We can modify φ_P by adding to it any map $\varphi_R^* P \to Q \subset P$ which is sufficiently small in p-adic topology (here p is the residual characteristic of R). If this map is sufficiently general, then the resulting φ_Q, hence φ_P, is an isogeny; we are done. □

Suppose now R is a p-adically complete commutative algebra, $R \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim R/p^n R$, and $I \subset R$ is a closed (for the p-adic topology) ideal preserved by φ_R such that φ_R is invertible on $W := R/I$ and is *topologically nilpotent* on I (i.e., φ_R acts locally nilpotently on I/pI, hence on $I/p^n I$). Then I consists of all $r \in R$ such that $\varphi_R^n(r) \to 0$. The projection $R \twoheadrightarrow W$ admits a unique section $W \to R$ compatible with the action of φ (which is automatically a ring homomorphism). The corresponding base change functors $\pi^* : W_\varphi\text{-mod} \rightleftarrows R_\varphi\text{-mod} : i^*$, $\pi^* M := R \otimes_W M$, $i^* N := W \otimes_R N = N/IN$, $i^* \pi^* M = M$, preserve the subcategories $?^{\mathrm{prf}}$-mod; the derived functors $L\pi^*, Li^*$ preserve the subcategories $D_\varphi^{\mathrm{perf}}(?)$. Our functors preserve the subcategories of non-degenerate objects as well.

We say that φ is *strongly topologically nilpotent* on I if for every $m > 0$ one can find a finite filtration of I by closed φ_R-invariant ideals such that $\varphi_R(\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} I) \subset p^m \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} I$.

Proposition. *If W is a mixed characteristic dvr and φ is strongly topologically nilpotent on I, then the functors $L\pi^*, Li^*$ yield mutually inverse equivalences*

$$D_\varphi^{\mathrm{perf}}(W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \overset{\sim}{\leftrightarrows} D_\varphi^{\mathrm{perf}}(R)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \tag{1.13.1}$$

Proof. (a) Let us show that *for any $P \in W^{\mathrm{prf}}\text{-mod}^{\mathrm{nd}}$ and $Q \in R^{\mathrm{prf}}$-mod, one has $RHom_{W_\varphi}(P, IQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$.*

For any $M \in W_\varphi$-mod consider the two-term complex $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(M) := \mathrm{Hom}^{\natural}_{W_\varphi}(P, M)$, $\mathcal{C}^0(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_W(P, M)$, $\mathcal{C}^1(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_W(\varphi_W^* P, M)$. By Exercises, $R\mathrm{Hom}_{W_\varphi}(P, M) = \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(M)$. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_\star(M)$ be the complex with the same components as $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(M)$ and the differential $\delta'(\xi) = \xi \varphi_P^l$. Since P is nondegenerate, $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_\star(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is acyclic.

The functor $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}$ is exact. We prove that $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(IQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is acyclic by defining a finite filtration $IQ^{\cdot}$ on IQ such that for $F := \mathrm{gr}^{\cdot} IQ$ one has $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(F) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_\star(F)$.

For m sufficiently large, there is $\psi : P \to \varphi_W^* P$ with $\varphi_P^l \psi = p^{m-1} \mathrm{id}_P$, $\psi \varphi_P^l = p^{m-1} \mathrm{id}_{\varphi_W^* P}$. By the condition of the proposition, one can find a finite filtration $I^{(\cdot)}$ on I by closed φ-invariant ideals such that $\varphi_R(\mathrm{gr}^{(\cdot)} I) \subset p^m \mathrm{gr}^{(\cdot)} I$. Set $IQ^{\cdot} := I^{(\cdot)} Q$.

One has $\varphi_F(F) \subset p^m F$. Therefore δ'' on $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(F)$ is divisible by p^m. Set $\chi := \psi^\tau(p^{-m} \delta'') \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{C}^0(F))$; then $\delta'' = p \delta' \chi$, i.e., $\delta(1 - p\chi) = \delta'$. Since $\mathcal{C}^0(F)$ is p-adically complete, $1 - p\chi$ is invertible, so it yields $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_\star(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}(F)$, q.e.d.

(b) The lemma and (a) imply that for every $P \in D^{\mathrm{perf}}_{\varphi}(W)^{\mathrm{nd}}$ and $Q \in D^{\mathrm{perf}}_{\varphi}(R)$ one has $R\mathrm{Hom}_{R_\varphi}(L\pi^* P, Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\mathrm{Hom}_{W_\varphi}(P, Li^* Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Thus the two functors $L\pi^* : D^{\mathrm{perf}}_{\varphi}(W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \leftrightarrows D^{\mathrm{perf}}_{\varphi}(R)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} : Li^*$ are adjoint.

Since Li^* is left inverse to $L\pi^*$, it remains to show that for $Q \in D^{\mathrm{perf}}_{\varphi}(R)^{\mathrm{nd}}$ the adjunction $L\pi^* Li^*(Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \to Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. We can replace $Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by $\mathcal{C}one(L\pi^* Li^*(Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \to Q \otimes \mathbb{Q})$, so it suffices to check that $Li^*(Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ implies $Q \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$. Since Q is nondegenerate, the support S of $Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which is a closed subset of $\mathrm{Spec}\,(R \otimes \mathbb{Q})$, is φ_R-invariant. If S is non-empty, then this implies $\mathrm{Spec}\,(W \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \in S$.[20] Since $Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is perfect, this contradicts the assumption $Li^*(Q \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, q.e.d. □

1.14. *Frobenius crystals.* Suppose $S^\sharp$ as in 1.12 is equipped with an endomorphism $\varphi_{S^\sharp}$ whose restriction to $(S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ is the Frobenius map $Fr_{(S_1,\mathcal{L}_1)}$. Then for any $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ over $S^\sharp_1$ the endomorphism $\varphi = (Fr_{(Z,\mathcal{M})}, \varphi_{S^\sharp})$ of $(Z, \mathcal{M})/S^\sharp$ acts on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. A *Frobenius $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-module* is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}})$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-module on $(Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a φ_{crys}-action on $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., a map $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \varphi_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{F})$; if $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-crystal, we call $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}})$ an *F-crystal.* Frobenius $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-modules form an abelian category; let $D_\varphi((Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ be its derived category, and $D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_{\varphi}(Z/S) = D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_{\varphi}((Z,\mathcal{M})/S^\sharp)$ be the thick subcategory of *perfect F-crystals*, i.e., those $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}})$ that $\mathcal{F}$ is a perfect crystal (see 1.11). Such an $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}})$ is *nondegenerate* if the map $L\varphi^*_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}$ that comes from $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $D^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Z/S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$; the corresponding category is denoted by $D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_{\varphi}(Z/S)^{\mathrm{nd}}$.

A morphism $f : (Z', \mathcal{M}')/S'^\sharp \to (Z, \mathcal{M})/S^\sharp$ compatible with $\varphi_{S'^\sharp}$, $\varphi_{S^\sharp}$ yields the pullback functor f^*_{crys} between the categories of Frobenius $\mathcal{O}$-modules. The derived functor Lf^*_{crys} preserves the subcategories of (nondegenerate) perfect F-crystals, and it is compatible with Lf^*_{crys} from 1.5 via the forgetful functor $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$.

Remark. The endofunctor $L\varphi^*_{\mathrm{crys}}$ of $D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_{\varphi}(Z/S)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is canonically isomorphic to the identity functor.

We usually abbreviate $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}})$ to $\mathcal{F}$, and denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_\varphi(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ the group of Frobenius $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-module morphisms. One has an exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_\varphi(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_1, \varphi_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{F}_2))$, the last arrow is $\xi \mapsto \varphi_{\mathcal{F}_2}\xi - \varphi_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\xi)\varphi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$.

Lemma. *For any $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in D^+_\varphi((Z/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z/S})$ one has a canonical quasi-isomorphism $R\mathcal{H}om_\varphi(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}one\,(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \to R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_1, \varphi_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{F}_2)))[-1]$.*

Proof. The above exact sequence yields a map of complexes $\alpha : R\mathrm{Hom}_\varphi(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \to \mathcal{C}one\,(R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \to R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_1, \varphi_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{F}_2)))[-1]$. Let us check that α is a quasi-isomorphism.

The forgetful functor $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ from Frobenius $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-modules to $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-modules, admits a right adjoint $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{(\varphi)}$. Explicitly, $\mathcal{F}_{(\varphi)} = \Pi_{n \geq 0} \varphi^n_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}_{(\varphi)}}$ is the projection $\Pi_{n\geq 0}\varphi^n_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{F}) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{n \geq 1}\varphi^n_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{F})$. The functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{(\varphi)}$ is left exact and sends injective objects to injective ones. Thus every Frobenius $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-module admits an embedding into $\mathcal{G}_{(\varphi)}$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is some injective $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-module. Therefore it suffices to check that α is a quasi-isomorphism assuming that $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}$ is any Frobenius $\mathcal{O}_{Z/S}$-module and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{G}_{(\varphi)}$ with $\mathcal{G}$ injective. Then $R\mathrm{Hom}_\varphi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}_{(\varphi)}) \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{C}one\,(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}_{(\varphi)}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{G}_{(\varphi)})))[-1]$ $\overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{C}one\,(R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}_{(\varphi)}) \to R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{G}_{(\varphi)})))[-1]$, q.e.d. □

---

[20] Indeed, otherwise there is $r \in R \smallsetminus I$ such that vanishes on S. Suppose $r \bmod I \in p^n W^\times$. Since φ_R is topologically nilpotent on I and invertible on W, for $m \gg 0$ one has $\varphi^{m*}_R(r) = p^n(a_m + pb_m)$, where $a_m \in s(W^\times)$ and $b_m \in I$. Hence $\varphi^{m*}_R(r) \in p^n R^\times$ since R is p-adically complete. Since S is φ_R-invariant, $\varphi^{m*}_R(r)$ vanishes on S, i.e., $S = \emptyset$.

1.15. *(φ, N)-modules.* Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p, $W := W(k)$, $K_0 := \mathrm{Frac}W$, φ be the Frobenius automorphism of W and K_0. As in [F2] 4.2, a *φ-module* over K_0 is a pair (V, φ), where V is a finite-dimensional K_0-vector space, $\varphi = \varphi_V$ is a φ-semilinear automorphism of V; a *(φ, N)-module* is a triple (V, φ, N), where (V, φ) is a φ-module and $N = N_V$ is a K_0-linear endomorphism of V such that $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ (then N is automatically nilpotent). One says that V is *effective* (or of nonnegative slope) if it contains a φ_V-invariant W-lattice, hence one preserved by both φ_V and N_V. The category (φ, N)-mod of (φ, N)-modules is naturally a Tannakian tensor $\mathbb{Q}_p$-category, and $(V, \varphi, N) \mapsto V$ is a fiber functor over K_0.[21] Let $(\varphi, N)^{\mathrm{eff}}$-mod be its abelian tensor subcategory of effective modules, and $D_{\varphi,N}(K_0)^{\mathrm{eff}} \subset D_{\varphi,N}(K_0)$ be the respecting bounded derived categories.

We usually abbreviate (V, φ, N) to V. For (φ, N)-modules V_1, V_2, we denote by let $\mathrm{Hom}_{\varphi,N}(V_1, V_2)$ be the group of (φ, N)-mod morphisms, and by $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$, $\mathrm{Hom}^{(\varphi)}(V_1, V_2)$ the groups of K_0-linear and φ-semilinear maps. Let $\mathrm{Hom}^{\natural}_{\varphi,N}(V_1, V_2)$ be the complex $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}^{(\varphi)}(V_1, V_2) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}^{(\varphi)}(V_1, V_2)$ supported in degrees $[0, 2]$ with the differential $d^0(\xi) = (\varphi_2\xi - \xi\varphi_1, N_2\xi - \xi N_1)$, $d^1(\chi, \psi) = N_2\chi - p\chi N_1 - p\varphi_2\psi + \psi\varphi_1$. Clearly $\mathrm{Hom}_{\varphi,N}(V_1, V_2) = H^0\mathrm{Hom}^{\natural}_{\varphi,N}(V_1, V_2)$.

Exercise. Show that $R\mathrm{Hom}_{\varphi,N}(V_1, V_2) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathrm{Hom}^{\natural}_{\varphi,N}(V_1, V_2)$.

Remark. Complexes $\mathrm{Hom}^{\natural}_{\varphi,N}$ compose naturally, so they provide a dg category structure on (φ, N)-modules. By Exercise, its homotopy category equals $D_{\varphi,N}(K_0)$.

Let $(Y, \mathcal{L})$ be an integral log k-scheme such that Y is a local scheme with residue field k, the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ is killed by a power of Frobenius (say, Y is Artinian), and $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{O}_Y^\times = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. As in 1.12, we view it as a log scheme over $W^\sharp = W^\sharp$.

Theorem. *There is a canonical equivalence of triangulated categores*

$$\epsilon_Y : D_{\varphi,N}(K_0)^{\mathrm{eff}} \overset{\sim}{\to} D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_\varphi(Y/W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \tag{1.15.1}$$

Proof. (a) Let $i^0 : (Y^0, \mathcal{L}^0) \hookrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{L})$ be the exact embedding with $Y^0 := Y_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$. Then $Li^{0*}_{\mathrm{crys}} : D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_\varphi(Y/W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_\varphi(Y^0/W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ *is an equivalence of categories.* Indeed, since some power of $Fr_{(Y,\mathcal{L})}$ factors through i^0, the assertion follows from Remark in 1.14. Our ϵ_Y will satisfy

$$\epsilon_Y = (Li^{0*}_{\mathrm{crys}})^{-1}\epsilon_{Y^0}. \tag{1.15.2}$$

Thus it suffices to construct ϵ_{Y^0}. So for the rest of the proof we assume that $Y = Y^0$, i.e., $Y = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{L}^g \subset \mathcal{L}$ be the subset of generators of $\mathcal{L}$, i.e, the preimage of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \mathcal{L}/k^\times$; this is a $k^\times$-torsor. Let $W\langle t_l \rangle$ be the divided powers polynomial algebra generated by elements t_l, $l \in \mathcal{L}^1$, subject to relations $t_{al} = [a]t_l$ for $a \in k^\times$; here $[a] \in W^\times$ is the Teichmüller lifting of a. Let R be the p-adic completion of $W\langle t_l \rangle$, $\mathcal{L}_E$ be the log structure on $E := \operatorname{Spec} R$ generated by t_l. One has an exact embedding $i : (Y, \mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow (E, \mathcal{L}_E)$, $t_l \mapsto l$, and a φ-action $\varphi_R = \varphi$ on $(E, \mathcal{L}_E)$, $\varphi_R^*(t_l) = t_l^p$, that extends the Frobenius endomorphism of $(Y, \mathcal{L})$. Then $(E, \mathcal{L}_E)_n$ (see 1.12) is a coordinate pd-$W_n(k)$-thickening of $(Y, \mathcal{L})$.

---

[21] The same is true for the category of φ-modules.

For $V \in (\varphi, N)^{\mathrm{eff}}$-mod, pick a W-lattice $V_{(0)}$ invariant with respect to φ and N. The R-module $V_{(0)R} := V_{(0)} \otimes_W R$ carries a log connection ∇ and a φ-action φ_V such that φ_V and $\nabla_{t_l \partial_{t_l}}$ preserve generators $V_{(0)} \subset V_{(0)R}$ and coincide there with φ and N. According to 1.7, the data of vector bundles $(V_{(0)R})_n = V_{(0)} \otimes R/p^n$ on E_n equipped with the above connection and φ-action yields a F-crystal on Y/W which we denote by $\epsilon_Y(V_{(0)})$. Thus a finite complex $V^{\cdot}$ in $(\varphi, N)^{\mathrm{eff}}$-mod equipped with a data of lattices $V_{(0)}^{\cdot}$ invariant with respect to φ, N, and the differential, yields a nondegenerate perfect Frobenius crystal $\epsilon_Y(V_{(0)}^{\cdot})$ on $(Y, \mathcal{L})/W$. Viewed up to isogeny, it does not depend on the choice of $V_{(0)}^{\cdot}$. We have defined a triangulated functor $\epsilon_Y : D_{\varphi,N}(K_0)^{\mathrm{eff}} \to D_\varphi^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Y/W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

(c) ϵ_Y *is fully faithful*: Use Exercise above and Lemma in 1.14 (combined with (1.8.1)) to compute the respective Ext's.

(d) ϵ_Y *is essentially surjective*: By (c), it suffices to check that for every $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi_{\mathcal{F}}) \in D_\varphi^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Y/W)^{\mathrm{nd}}$ the cohomology $H^i\mathcal{F}$ belong to $D_\varphi^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Y/W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and lie in the image of ϵ_Y. Consider $\mathcal{F}(E, \mathcal{L}_E) := \operatorname{holim}_n \mathcal{F}((E, \mathcal{L}_E)_n)$. This is a perfect R-complex equipped with a nondegenerate φ-action, so $\mathcal{F}(E, \mathcal{L}_E) \in D_\varphi^{\mathrm{perf}}(R)^{\mathrm{nd}}$. The φ-action on R satisfies conditions of Proposition in 1.13.[22] So, by (1.13.1), $H^i\mathcal{F}(E, \mathcal{L}_E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, viewed as an R-module equipped with φ-action, can be written in a canonical way as $V \otimes_W R$, where V is an effective φ-module. The operator $\nabla_{t_l \partial_{t_l}} \in \operatorname{End}_{K_0} H^i\mathcal{F}(E, \mathcal{L}_E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ preserves V (as follows, say, from part (a) of the proof of loc. cit.); set $N_V := \nabla_{t_l \partial_{t_l}}|_V$. Then (V, φ_V, N_V) is an effective (φ, N)-module and $H^i\mathcal{F} = \epsilon_Y(V)$, q.e.d. $\square$

Remarks. (i) To construct $(Li^{0*}_{\mathrm{crys}})^{-1}$ from (1.15.2), pick a left inverse $\pi : (Y, \mathcal{L}) \to (Y^0, \mathcal{L}^0)$ to i^0 (it is *not* unique if $Y \neq Y^0$). Then $L\pi^*_{\mathrm{crys}} : D_\varphi^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Y^0/W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to D_\varphi^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Y/W)^{\mathrm{nd}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is left inverse, hence inverse, to Li^{0*}_{crys}.
(ii) For $\mathcal{F} \in D_\varphi^{\mathrm{pcr}}(Y/W)^{\mathrm{nd}}$, the complex $\epsilon_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ as an object of $D_\varphi(W)$ is equal to $Li^{0*}_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{F})(\operatorname{Spec} W, \mathcal{L}^0_W)$, where $\mathcal{L}^0_W$ is the log structure on W defined by the prelog one $\mathcal{L}^0 \to k \to W$, the right arrow is the Teichmüller section.
(iii) For a map $f : (Y', \mathcal{L}')/k' \to (Y, \mathcal{L})/k$ of data as above its *ramification index* is a number e such that the map $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{O}_Y^\times \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{L}'/\mathcal{O}_{Y'}^\times = Z_{\geq 0}$ is multiplication by e. One has $Lf^*_{\mathrm{crys}}\epsilon_Y(V^{\cdot}, \varphi, N) = \epsilon_{Y'}(V^{\cdot}_{K_0'}, \varphi, eN)$ where $V^{\cdot}_{K_0'} := K_0' \otimes_{K_0} V^{\cdot}$.

1.16. *Hyodo-Kato theory.* Let K be a p-adic field, i.e., a complete discretely valued field of characteristic 0 with perfect residue field $k = O_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_K$ be *the canonical log structure* on $S = S_K := \operatorname{Spec} O_K$ generated by prelog one $O_K \smallsetminus \{0\} \to O_K$. As in 1.12, we have log $W_n(k)$-schemes $(S, \mathcal{L})_n$. Let v be the valuation on K normalized so that $v(p) = 1$; thus $v : \mathcal{L}_n/(O_K/p^n)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} e_K^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where e_K is the absolute ramification index, i.e., the degree of K over $K_0 = \operatorname{Frac} W(k)$.

Let $f : (Z_1, \mathcal{M}_1) \to (S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ be a log smooth map of Cartier type with $(Z_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$ fine and Z_1 is proper over S_1. By the theorem in 1.11, $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_1/W(k)})$ is a perfect F-crystal on $(S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)/W(k)$. The next result was proved in [HK] 2.24:

---

[22] To check that the φ-action on $I = t_l R$ is strongly topologically nilpotent, use the finite filtration $I \supset t_l I \supset t_l^2 I \supset \ldots \supset t_l^n I$, where n is sufficiently large.

Proposition. *This F-crystal is nondegenerate (see 1.14).* □

Therefore $Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_1/W(k)}) \in D^{\mathrm{pcr}}_{\varphi}(S_1/W(k))^{\mathrm{nd}}$. Let $f^0 : (Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1) \to (S^0_1, \mathcal{L}^0_1)$ be the pullback of $(Z_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$ by the exact embedding $i^0 : (S^0_1, \mathcal{L}^0_1) \hookrightarrow (S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$, $S^0_1 = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, so $Li^{0*}_{\mathrm{crys}} Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_1/W(k)}) = Rf^0_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^0_1/W(k)})$ (see (1.11.1)).

Choose $(Y, \mathcal{L})$ in 1.15 to be either of log k-schemes $(S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ and $(S^0_1, \mathcal{L}^0_1)$; by the theorem in loc. cit., we have equivalences ϵ_{S_1} and $\epsilon_{S^0_1} = Li^{0*}_{\mathrm{crys}} \epsilon_{S_1}$. We define the *Hyodo-Kato complex* $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1) \in D_{\varphi,N}(K_0)$ as $\epsilon^{-1}_{S_1} Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_1/W(k)}) = \epsilon^{-1}_{S^0_1} Rf^0_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^0_1/W(k)})$ with operator N replaced by $e^{-1}_K N$.

Remark. The normalization of N was chosen in order to make the Hyodo-Kato complex to be compatible with base change: If K' is a finite extension of K, $S' = \mathrm{Spec}\, O_{K'}$, etc., and $(Z'_1, \mathcal{M}'_1)/(S'_1, \mathcal{L}'_1)$ is the pullback of $(Z_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$, then $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z'^0_1, \mathcal{M}'^0_1) = R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1) \otimes K'_0$ by (1.11.1) and Remark (iii) in 1.15.

Let us show that the Hyodo-Kato complex controls, up to isogeny, the relative log crystalline cohomology of base changes of f. Let $\theta : (S^{\sim}_1, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_1) \to (S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ be a map of integral quasi-coherent log schemes, $(E^{\sim}, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_E) = \varinjlim (E^{\sim}_n, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_{En})$ be a p-adic pd-thickening of $(S^{\sim}_1, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_1)$ over $W(k)$. Suppose $S^{\sim}_1$ is affine, so we have a p-adic ring A equipped with a pd-ideal I such that $\mathrm{Spec}\, A/I = S^{\sim}_1$, $E^{\sim}_n = \mathrm{Spec}\, A_n$, $A_n := A/p^n$. Let $f^{\sim} : (Z^{\sim}_1, \mathcal{M}^{\sim}_1) \to (S^{\sim}_1, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_1)$ be the θ-base change of f. Consider the A-complex $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^{\sim}_1/E^{\sim})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\sim}_1/E^{\sim}}) = \mathrm{holim}_n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^{\sim}_1/E^{\sim}_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\sim}_1/E^{\sim}_n})$. Any endomorphism $\varphi = \varphi_{E^{\sim}}$ of $(E^{\sim}, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_E)$ that lifts the Frobenius endomorphism of $(S^{\sim}_1, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_1)$ and is compatible with φ on $W(k)$, acts naturally on our A-complex.

The datum of θ and $(E^{\sim}, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_E)$ yields a *Hyodo-Kato* $\mathbb{G}_a$*-torsor* $\mathrm{Spec}\, A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}\, A_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $A_{\mathbb{Q}} := A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Namely, let $\mathcal{L}^{\sim}_{\theta}$ be the monoid of pairs $(l, l^{\sim})$ where $l \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $l^{\sim} \in \Gamma(E^{\sim}, \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_E)$ lifts the pullback of l in $\mathcal{L}^{\sim}_1$. We usually abbreviate $(l, l^{\sim})$ to $l^{\sim}$ and write $v(l^{\sim}) := v(l) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Our $\mathcal{L}^{\sim}_{\theta}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{L}_1$ by $(1+I)^{\times}$, and there is a natural embedding $k^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_{\theta}$, $a \mapsto (l_a, l^{\sim}_a) :=$ the images of the Teichmüller element $[a] \in W(k)^{\times}$ in $(O_K/p)^{\times}$, $A^{\times}$. Then $A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$-algebra equipped with a map of monoids $\log : \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_{\theta}/k^{\times} \to A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that coincides on $(1+I)^{\times}$ with the composition $(1+I)^{\times} \to I \to A_{\mathbb{Q}} \to A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where the left arrow is the logarithm defined by the pd-structure, and such that this datum is universal. Let N be the $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$-derivation of $A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $N(\log(l^{\sim})) = -v(l^{\sim})$. Since N is locally nilpotent, $\mathrm{Spec}\, A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ carries a $\mathbb{G}_a$-action that integrates N (i.e., N acts as the standard generator of $\mathrm{Lie}\, \mathbb{G}_a$).

Lemma. *(i)* $\mathrm{Spec}\, A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *is a* $\mathbb{G}_a$*-torsor over* $\mathrm{Spec}\, A_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
(ii) Any Frobenius lifting $\varphi = \varphi_{E^{\sim}}$ *as above acts naturally on* $A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$*, and* $N\varphi = p\varphi N$.

Proof. Since $v : (\mathcal{L}_1/k^{\times})^{\mathrm{gr}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}$, for any $l^{\sim} \in \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_{\theta}$ with $v(l^{\sim}) \neq 0$ the element $\log(l^{\sim})$ freely generates $A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This implies (i). Then φ acts on $A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by transport of structure, and the formula in (ii) follows since $v(\varphi(l^{\sim})) = pv(l^{\sim})$. □

The Hyodo-Kato complex carries a natural $\mathbb{G}_a$-action that integrates the nilpotent endomorphism N. Let $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1)^{\natural}_{A_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ be its twist by the Hyodo-Kato torsor. Explicitly, $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1)^{\natural}_{A_{\mathbb{Q}}} = (R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1) \otimes_{K_0} A^{\natural}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{N=0}$, where N acts as $N \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes N$. Another description: Any $l^{\sim} \in \mathcal{L}^{\sim}_{\theta}/k^{\times}$ such that $v(l^{\sim}) \neq 0$ yields a trivialization $s_{l^{\sim}}$ of the torsor defined by the equation $\log(l^{\sim})(s_{l^{\sim}}) = 0$; one has $s_{l'^{\sim}} = s_{l^{\sim}} + a$, where $a = a(l^{\sim}, l'^{\sim}) := v(l^{\sim})^{-1} \log(l^{\sim}) - v(l'^{\sim})^{-1} \log(l'^{\sim}) \in A_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus every

$l^\sim$ as above yields an identification $\alpha_{l^\sim} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0) \otimes_{K_0} A_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0)^\natural_{A_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, and $\alpha_{l'^\sim} = \alpha_{l^\sim} \exp(a(l'^\sim, l^\sim)N)$.

Theorem. *(i) The A-complex $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim})$ is perfect, and one has $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n}) = R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim}) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n$.*
(ii) There is a canonical Hyodo-Kato quasi-isomorphism of $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$-complexes

$$\iota : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0)^\natural_{A_{\mathbb{Q}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \tag{1.16.1}$$

If we have a Frobenius lifting $\varphi_{E^\sim}$, then ι commutes with its action.

Proof. (i) By the theorem in 1.11 and Exercise in loc. cit., $Rf^\sim_{\mathrm{crys}\,*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/W})$ is a perfect $\mathcal{O}_{S^\sim_1/W}$-crystal. Its value on $(S^\sim_1, E^\sim_n)$ equals $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n})$. So the latter complex is A_n-perfect and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_{n+1})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_{n+1}}) \otimes^L_{A_{n+1}} A_n = R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n})$. This implies (i) since $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim}) = \mathrm{holim}_n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim_n})$.

(ii) To define ι, it suffices to have isomorphisms $\iota_{l^\sim} = \iota\alpha_{l^\sim} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0) \otimes_{K_0} A_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\iota_{l'^\sim} = \iota_{l^\sim}\exp(a(l'^\sim, l^\sim)N)$ for $l^\sim$ in a given subset of $\mathcal{L}^\sim_\theta/k^\times$. We take for it the subset of $l^\sim = (l, l^\sim)$ with $v(l) = e_K^{-1}$.

We use the notation of 1.15. Picking an element $l \in \mathcal{L}_1/k^\times$ such that $v(l) = e_K^{-1}$ amounts to a choice of $\pi_l : (S_1, \mathcal{L}_1) \to (S_1^0, \mathcal{L}_1^0)$ left inverse to $i^0 : (S_1^0, \mathcal{L}_1^0) \hookrightarrow (S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ (we assign to π_l the π_l^*-image of a generator l^0 of $\mathcal{L}_1^0$). Picking $(l, l^\sim) \in \mathcal{L}^\sim_\theta/k^\times$ amounts to a choice of a morphism of pd-thickenings $\theta_{l^\sim} : E^\sim \to E$ that extends $\pi_l\theta$ (we assign to $\theta_{l^\sim}$ the $\theta^*_{l^\sim}$-image of the generator t_{l^0} of $\mathcal{L}_E/k^\times$, see part (b) of the proof of the theorem in 1.15). By Remark (i) in 1.15, $L\pi^*_{l\mathrm{crys}}\epsilon_{S_1^0} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{\mathrm{crys}*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_1/W(k)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, so, by the theorem in 1.11, $L(\theta\pi_l)^*_{\mathrm{crys}}\epsilon_{S_1^0} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf^\sim_{\mathrm{crys}\,*}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/W}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Evaluating on $(S^\sim_1, E^\sim_n)$ and using Exercise in 1.11, we get $L\theta^*_{l^\sim}(\epsilon_{S_1^0}(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0))(S_1^0, E)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. By part (b) of the proof of the theorem in 1.15, one has $\epsilon_{S_1^0}(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0))(S_1^0, E) = R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0) \otimes_{W(k)} R$, so the previous isomorphism can be rewritten as identification $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0) \otimes_{K_0} A_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}((Z^\sim_1/E^\sim)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^\sim_1/E^\sim}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which is the promised $\iota_{l^\sim}$. The compatibility between $\iota_{l^\sim}$'s for different $l^\sim$ follows from the description of the connection on $\epsilon_{S_1^0}(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0))(S_1^0, E)$ in terms of N, see the proof of the theorem in 1.11. □

Example. Suppose $(Z, \mathcal{M})$ be a fine log scheme log smooth over $(S, \mathcal{L})$. Assume that Z is proper over S and $(Z, \mathcal{M})_1 = (Z_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$ is of Cartier type over $(S, \mathcal{L})_1$. Consider $\theta = \mathrm{id}_{(S_1, \mathcal{L}_1)}$ and $(E, \mathcal{L}_E) = (S, \mathcal{L})$, so $A = O_K$. Then $R\Gamma((Z_1/S_n)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_1/S_n}) = R\Gamma(Z_n, \Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})_n/(S,\mathcal{L})_n})$ by (1.8.2) and the invariance property of crystalline topology, so $R\Gamma((Z_1/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_1/S}) = R\Gamma(Z, \Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})})$, and we can rewrite the Hyodo-Kato quasi-isomorphism as (here $Z_K := Z \otimes_{O_K} K$)

$$\iota_{\mathrm{dR}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_1^0, \mathcal{M}_1^0)^\natural_K \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(Z_K, \Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z_K, \mathcal{M}_K)/K}). \tag{1.16.2}$$

1.17. *Absolute crystalline cohomology of $O_{\bar K}/p$.* As in 1.16, $K_0 = \mathrm{Frac}\, W$, where $W = W(k)$, k is perfect. Let $\bar K$ be an algebraic closure of K_0, $O_{\bar K}$ be its ring of integers, $\bar k = O_{\bar K}/\mathfrak{m}_{\bar K}$ the residue field. Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ be the canonical log structure on $\bar S := \mathrm{Spec}\, O_{\bar K}$ generated by the prelog structure $O_{\bar K} \smallsetminus \{0\} \to O_{\bar K}$. Let v be the normalized valuation on $\bar K$, $v(p) = 1$, so we have $v : \bar{\mathcal{L}}/O^\times_{\bar K} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1/(O_{\bar K}/p)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

Consider Fontaine's ring $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ from [F1] 2.2, 2.3. This is a p-adically complete ring such that $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} = \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}/p^n$ is a universal pd-thickening of $O_{\bar{K}}/p$ over W_n. Let $J_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ be the pd-ideals, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}/J_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} = O_{\bar{K}}/p$. Set $E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} := \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$.

Proposition. *The log structure $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1$ on Spec$(O_{\bar{K}}/p) = \bar{S}_1$ extends in a unique manner to an integral log structure $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ on $E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$. The pd-thickening $(\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})_1 \hookrightarrow (E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$ is universal, i.e., for $(Z,T) \in \mathcal{T}_{W_n}$ every map $h : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \to (\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})_1$ of log k-schemes extends in a unique way to a $\mathcal{T}_{W_n}$-map $h_T : (Z,T) \to (S_1, E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$.*

Proof. Let us construct $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)}$ be a copy of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1$ and $\alpha^{(n)} : \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)} \to \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ be the map $\alpha^{(n)}(l) = \tilde{\alpha}(l)^{p^n}$, where $\tilde{\alpha}(l)$ is any lifting of $\alpha(l) \in O_{\bar{K}}/p$ to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$. This map is well defined,[23] and it is a prelog structure on $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$. Our $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ is the log structure that corresponds to $\alpha^{(n)}$. The embedding $\bar{S}_1 \hookrightarrow E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ extends to a morphism of log schemes $(\bar{S}_1, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1) \to (E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$, the map $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}|_{\bar{S}_1} \to \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1$ coming from the map of monoids $\varphi^n : \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)} \to \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1$, $l \mapsto l^{p^n}$. This is an exact embedding since $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1/(O_{\bar{K}}/p)^\times \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

It remains to check the universality property. By [F1] 2.2.1, $h : Z \to \bar{S}_1$ extends in a unique manner to a map of pd-W_n-schemes $h_T : T \to E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$. We define $h_T^* : \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)} \to \mathcal{M}_T$ as follows. For $l \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)}$ the section $h^*(l)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ lifts locally to a section $\tilde{h}^*(l) \in \mathcal{M}_T$; set $h_T^*(l) := \tilde{h}^*(l)^{p^n}$. This map is well defined,[24] and it is a morphism of monoids such that the next diagram commutes (here $\varphi^n(l) = l^{p^n}$):

(1.17.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)} \arrow[r, "h_T^*"] \arrow[d, "\varphi^n"'] & \mathcal{M}_T \arrow[d] \\
\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1 \arrow[r, "h^*"] & \mathcal{M}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)}$ is p-divisible, h_T^* is uniquely defined by this property. One checks immediately that $\alpha_T h_T^* = h_T^* \alpha^{(n)} : \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)} \to \mathcal{O}_T$, so, extending h_T^* to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$, we get the promised $h_T : (T, \mathcal{M}_T) \to (S_1, E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$. Its uniqueness is clear from the above. $\square$

The Frobenius map φ lifts then to $(E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$ by universality.

By universality, the log structures $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ are mutually compatible. Thus we have the log structure $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}} = \varprojlim \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ on $E_{\mathrm{crys}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}}|_{E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$. Explicitly, the identifications $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}|_{E_{\mathrm{crys}\, m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}\, m}$ for $n \geq m$ come from the maps $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)} \to \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(m)}$, $l \mapsto \varphi^{n-m}(l)$, and the log structure $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ comes from the prelog one $\alpha_\varphi = \varprojlim \alpha^{(n)} : \mathcal{L}_\varphi := \varprojlim \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(n)} \to \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$.

Exercise. One has $\mathcal{L}_\varphi \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}} : \varphi(\lambda) = \lambda^p\}$.[25]

By the proposition, $(\bar{S}_1, E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$ is a universal object of the crystalline topology $((\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})_1/W_n)_{\mathrm{crys}}$, so for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ its global sections are equal to $\mathcal{F}(\bar{S}_1, E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$. The étale topology of $E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ is trivial, so the higher cohomology vanish and

(1.17.2)
$$R\Gamma(((\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})_1/W_n)_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(\bar{S}_1, E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}).$$

---

[23] Indeed, for $a \in \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ and $b \in J_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ one has $(a+b)^{p^n} = a^{p^n}$ (since $b^p \in pJ_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$).

[24] Indeed, $\mathcal{M}_T/(1+\mathcal{J}_T)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$ and for $b \in \mathcal{J}_T$ one has $(1+b)^{p^n} = 1$.

[25] Hint: $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\{b \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+ : \varphi(b) = pb, b \in F^1\} = 1$.

Thus for a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $((\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}})_1/W)_{\mathrm{crys}}$ one has

$$R\Gamma(((\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}})_1/W)_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(\bar{S}_1, E_{\mathrm{crys}}) := \mathrm{holim}_n \mathcal{F}(\bar{S}_1, E_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}). \tag{1.17.3}$$

In particular,

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}})_n = \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}, \quad R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}. \tag{1.17.4}$$

1.18. *Absolute crystalline cohomology of log schemes over $O_{\bar{K}}/p$.* Let $\bar{f} : (Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}}) \to (\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}})_1$ be a map of log schemes, its source is integral quasi-coherent. Then for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $((Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}})/W)_{\mathrm{crys}}$ one has a natural identification

$$R\Gamma(((Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}})/W)_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(((Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}})/(\bar{S}_1,E_{\mathrm{crys}}))_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{F}). \tag{1.18.1}$$

Namely, consider $\bar{f}_{\mathrm{crys}} : ((Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}})/W)_{\widetilde{\mathrm{crys}}} \to ((\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}})_1/W)_{\widetilde{\mathrm{crys}}}$; then the l.h.s. of (1.18.1) is $R\Gamma(((\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}})_1/W)_{\mathrm{crys}}, R\bar{f}_{\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F})$, the same is true for the r.h.s. by (1.17.3).

Let $K \subset \bar{K}$ be a finite extension of K_0, and $\theta = \theta_K : (\bar{S},\bar{\mathcal{L}}) \to (S,\mathcal{L}) = (S_K,\mathcal{L}_K)$ be the map defined by the embedding $K \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$. Suppose our $\bar{f}$ is the base change of $f : (Z_1,\mathcal{M}_1) \to (S,\mathcal{L})_1$ by θ_1, i.e., we have $\theta_{Z1} : (Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}}) \to (Z_1,\mathcal{M}_1)$ such that the square $(\bar{f}, f, \theta_1, \theta_{Z1})$ is Cartesian, and f *satisfies the properties from 1.16.* Applying the theorem in 1.16 to $S_{\tilde{1}} = \bar{S}_1$, $E = E_{\mathrm{crys}}$ and using (1.18.1) for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\bar{1}}/W}$, we get (recall that $\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}} := \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$; see 1.12 for the rest of the notation):

Theorem. *(i) $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}})$ is a perfect A_{crys}-complex, and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}})_n = R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}}) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n = R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}}) \otimes_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}} A_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}$.*
(ii) There is a canonical quasi-isomorphism of B^+_{crys}-complexes

$$\iota_{\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1,\mathcal{M}^0_1)^\natural_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \tag{1.18.2}$$

compatible with the action of φ. □

Remarks. (i) The ring $\mathrm{A}^\natural_{\mathrm{crys}\,\mathbb{Q}}$ from 1.16 equals Fontaine's ring $\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}$. Indeed, by [F1] 3.1, $\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}$ is a $\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}$-algebra equipped with a monoid map $\log : \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}}/\bar{k}^\times \to \mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}$ that equals the logarithm defined by the pd-structure on $(1+J_{\mathrm{crys}})^\times$, and such that this datum is universal. Since the evident map $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\theta}} \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ yields an isomorphism $(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\theta}}/k^\times)^{\mathrm{gr}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}}/\bar{k}^\times)^{\mathrm{gr}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, the assertion follows. The derivation N and the Frobenius action φ from 1.16 coincide with those from [F1] 3.2.

(ii) Suppose our $\bar{f}$ is such that the datum of $(K,(Z_1,\mathcal{M}_1),\theta_{Z1})$ as above exists, but we don't want to specify one. All such data form a category in an evident manner; since $\bar{S}_1$ is faithfully flat over S_1, it is an ordered set, which we denote by Ξ_1. In fact, Ξ_1 is directed. For a morphism $(K',(Z'_1,\mathcal{M}'_1),\theta'_{Z1}) \to (K,(Z_1,\mathcal{M}_1),\theta_{Z1})$ in Ξ_1, one has a canonical identification $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1,\mathcal{M}^0_1) \otimes_{K_0} K'_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z'^0_1,\mathcal{M}'^0_1)$ (see the first remark in 1.16), and isomorphisms (1.8.2) are compatible with it (by the second remark in loc. cit.). Set $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}}) := \varinjlim_{\Xi_1} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^0_1,\mathcal{M}^0_1)$; this is a complex of (φ,N)-modules over K_0^{nr}[26] functorial with respect to morphisms of X's, and (1.8.2) provides a canonical isomorphism compatible with the action of φ

$$\iota_{\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}})^\natural_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z_{\bar{1}},\mathcal{M}_{\bar{1}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \tag{1.18.3}$$

---

[26] The maximal unramified extension of K_0 in $\bar{K}$.

Since $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}$ is a $\mathbb{G}_a$-torsor over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}$ (see Lemma in 1.16), (1.18.3) amounts to a quasi-isomorphism of $\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}$-complexes compatible with the action of N and φ

$$\iota_{\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^-_1, \mathcal{M}^-_1)_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Z^-_1, \mathcal{M}^-_1) \otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}} \mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}. \tag{1.18.4}$$

Here $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^-_1, \mathcal{M}^-_1)_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}} := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^-_1, \mathcal{M}^-_1) \otimes_{K_0^{\mathrm{nr}}} \mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{st}}$.

(iii) Suppose $(Z^-_1, \mathcal{M}^-_1)/(\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})_1$ is reduction mod p of a log scheme $(Z^-, \mathcal{M}^-)/(\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})$. Assume that there exists a datum $(K, (Z, \mathcal{M}), \theta_Z)$, where $K \subset \bar{K}$ is a finite extension of K_0, $(Z, \mathcal{M})/(S, \mathcal{L})$ is a log scheme that *satisfies conditions of Example in 1.16*, and $\theta_Z : (Z^-, \mathcal{M}^-) \to (Z, \mathcal{M})$ is an identification of $(Z^-, \mathcal{M}^-)$ with the θ-pullback of $(Z, \mathcal{M})$. Again, such data form a directed set Ξ, and the reduction mod p map $\Xi \to \Xi_1$ is cofinal. Isomorphisms (1.16.2) are compatible with morphisms in Ξ, and their Ξ-colimit is a natural isomorphism (here $Z^-_{\bar{K}} := Z^- \otimes_{O_{\bar{K}}} \bar{K}$)

$$\iota_{\mathrm{dR}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(Z^-_1, \mathcal{M}^-_1)^{\natural}_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(Z^-_{\bar{K}}, \Omega^{\cdot}_{(Z^-_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{M}^-_{\bar{K}})/\bar{K}}). \tag{1.18.5}$$

2. The h-sheaf $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ and the crystalline Poincaré lemma.

2.1. Let $(V, \bar{V})$ be an ss-pair over $\bar{K}$, see [B] 2.2(c). As in [B] 3.2, we view it as a log $W(k)$-scheme with underlying scheme $\bar{V}$. The final object $\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{K}, O_{\bar{K}})$ of $\mathcal{V}ar^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\bar{K}}$ is $(\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})$ (see 1.17), so $(V, \bar{V})$ is a log scheme over $(\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})$.

As in 1.12, one has the absolute log crystalline complexes $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V})_n$ and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V}) = \operatorname{holim}_n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V})_n$. By (1.17.4), $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{K}, O_{\bar{K}})) = \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$.

According to the lemma in [B] 4.1, there is a finite extension K of K_0, $K \subset \bar{K}$, and a log smooth integral map $f : (Z, \mathcal{M}) \to (S, \mathcal{L}) = (\operatorname{Spec} O_K, \mathcal{L}_K)$ with f_1 of Cartier type, together with an identification of $(V, \bar{V})/(\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}})$ with the pullback of $(Z, \mathcal{M})/(S, \mathcal{L})$ by $\theta : (\bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}) \to (S, \mathcal{L})$ (see 1.18). By (i) of Theorem in 1.18, one has:

Proposition. *$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V})$ is a perfect A_{crys}-complex and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V}) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V})_n$.* □

Remark. Enlarging K, we find that any finite diagram of $(V, \bar{V})$'s comes from a diagram of $(Z, \mathcal{M})$'s over common $(S, \mathcal{L})$.

2.2. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ be h-sheafification of the presheaf $(V, \bar{V}) \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V})$ on $\mathcal{V}ar^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\bar{K}}$ (see [B] 2.6). This is an h-sheaf of E_∞ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$-algebras on $\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$ equipped with the Frobenius action φ. Since h-sheafification is exact, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} := \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ equals the h-sheafification of the presheaf $(V, \bar{V}) \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V})_n$ by the above corollary.

Consider the map $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$, the source viewed as a constant h-sheaf.

Theorem (the crystalline Poincaré lemma)**.** *The maps $A_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$ are quasi-isomorphisms of h-sheaves on $\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case of $n = 1$: Indeed, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, 1} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathbb{Z}/p$, so $\mathcal{C}one(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$ has a filtration of length n with successive quotients $\mathcal{C}one(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, 1} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, 1})$, and we are done.

Since $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, 1} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0 \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, 1}$, we need to show that $H^{>0} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\, 1} = 0$. It suffices to show that every $(V, \bar{V}) \in \mathcal{V}ar^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\bar{K}}$ admits an h-covering $(V', \bar{V}') \to (V, \bar{V})$ such that the map $H^{>0} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V, \bar{V})_1 \to H^{>0} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V', \bar{V}')_1$ vanishes. By the next lemma, any composition of $\dim V + 1$ p-negligible h-coverings (see [B] 4.3) does the job, so we are done by the theorem in [B] 4.3.

Let $(V^{m+1}, \bar{V}^{m+1}) \xrightarrow{\psi_m} \dots \xrightarrow{\psi_1} (V^1, \bar{V}^1)$ be p-negligible maps in $\mathcal{V}ar^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\bar{K}}$.

Lemma. *The composition* $\tau_{>0}R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V^1,\bar{V}^1)_1 \to \tau_{>0}R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V^{m+1},\bar{V}^{m+1})_1$ *vanishes if* $m > \dim V^1$.

Proof of Lemma. Choose K and $f_i : (Z^i,\mathcal{M}^i) \to (S,\mathcal{L}) = (\operatorname{Spec} O_K, \mathcal{L}_K)$ for $(V^i,\bar{V}^i)$ as in 2.1 so that ψ_i come from morphisms $\psi_i : (Z^{i+1},\mathcal{M}^{i+1}) \to (Z^i,\mathcal{M}^i)$ over $(S,\mathcal{L})$. Since $R\Gamma(Z^i_1, \Omega^a_{(Z^i,\mathcal{M}^i)_1/(S,\mathcal{L})_1}) = R\Gamma(Z^i, \Omega^a_{(Z^i,\mathcal{M}^i)/(S,\mathcal{L})})) \otimes^L_{O_K} (O_K/p)$ and ψ_i is p-negligible, we know that the ψ_i^* morphisms $R\Gamma(Z^i_1, \Omega^a_{(Z^i,\mathcal{M}^i)_1/(S,\mathcal{L})_1}) \to R\Gamma(Z^{i+1}_1, \Omega^a_{(Z^{i+1},\mathcal{M}^{i+1})_1/(S,\mathcal{L})_1})$, $a > 0$, and $\tau_{>0}R\Gamma(Z^i_1, \mathcal{O}_{Z^i_1}) \to \tau_{>0}R\Gamma(Z^{i+1}_1, \mathcal{O}_{Z^{i+1}_1})$ vanish as maps in the derived category of O_K/p-modules.[27] The lemma follows now from (1.10.1), since the span of the conjugate filtration on the source is $[0, \dim V^1]$. $\square$

2.3. For $X \in \mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$ set $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) := R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}})$. This is an E_∞ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$-algebra equipped with the Frobenius action φ. The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_0)$ acts on $\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$, and it acts on $X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X)$ by transport of structure. In particular, if X is defined over an extension $K \subset \bar{K}$ of K_0, $X = X_K \otimes_K \bar{K}$, then $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ acts naturally on $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X)$.

One has a canonical quasi-isomorphism of E_∞ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}$-algebras

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n} \tag{2.3.1}$$

defined as the composition of $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n = R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \xleftarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}) \xleftarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}) \xleftarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}$; here the first $\xleftarrow{\sim}$ comes from the crystalline Poincaré lemma, the second one comes since, by Deligne's cohomological descent, the étale and h-cohomology with torsion coefficients coincide (see Remark in [B] 3.4), the third one is [G] 3.3.

Isomorphisms (2.3.1) for different n's are compatible; applying holim_n, we get

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}. \tag{2.3.2}$$

Here $?\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}_p := \mathrm{holim}_n(? \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ is the notation from [B] (1.1.1), $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) := \mathrm{holim}_n R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$, and we use the identification $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{holim}_n R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}$, which comes since $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^n = R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a perfect $\mathbb{Z}_p$-complex.

2.4. To control $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we use its connection with the de Rham cohomology provided by the Hyodo-Kato theory:

For an ss-pair $(V,\bar{V})$ over $\bar{K}$, set $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(V,\bar{V}) := R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}((V,\bar{V})_1)$, see Remark (ii) in 1.18. By loc. cit., one has natural isomorphisms $\iota_{\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(V,\bar{V})^\natural_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar{V}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $\iota_{\mathrm{dR}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(V,\bar{V})^\natural_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\bar{K}}, \bar{V}_{\bar{K}})$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{HK}}$ be h-sheafification of the presheaf $(V,\bar{V}) \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(V,\bar{V})$ on $\mathcal{V}ar^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\bar{K}}$; this is an h-sheaf of E_∞ K_0^{nr}-algebras on $\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$ equipped with φ-action and locally nilpotent derivation N such that $N\varphi = p\varphi N$. For $X \in \mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$ set $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X) := R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{HK}})$. We get canonical quasi-isomorphisms[28]

$$\iota_{\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X)^\natural_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad \iota_{\mathrm{dR}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X)^\natural_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \tag{2.4.1}$$

compatible with the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_0)$-action; here ${}^\natural_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}}$, ${}^\natural_{\bar{K}}$ are crystalline and de Rham Hyodo-Kato twists (they commute with the passage to h-sheafification and $R\Gamma$).

---

[27] For $O_{\bar{K}}/p$ is faithfully O_K/p-flat and $R\Gamma(Z^i, \Omega^a_{(Z^i,\mathcal{M}^i)/(S,\mathcal{L})})$ are O_K/p-perfect complexes.

[28] Here $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$ is Deligne's version of the de Rham cohomology, see [B] 3.4.

Proposition. *(i) For any* $(V,\bar V)\in \mathcal{V}ar^{\rm ss}_{\bar K}$ *the canonical maps* $R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V,\bar V)\otimes\mathbb{Q}\to R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$, $R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(V,\bar V)\to R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(V)$ *are quasi-isomorphisms.*
(ii) For every $X\in\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar K}$ *the cohomology groups* $H^n R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$, $R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(X)$ *are free* $B^+_{\rm crys}$*-modules, resp.* $K_0^{\rm nr}$*-modules, of rank equal to* $\dim H^n_{\rm \acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Q}_p)$*. The same is true for the relative cohomology groups for a map of varieties.*

Proof. (i) The map $R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\to R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(V)$ is a quasi-isomorphism by usual mixed Hodge theory (see (i) of the proposition in [B] 3.4). Using $\iota_{\rm dR}$, we see that $R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(V,\bar V)\xrightarrow{\sim}R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(V)$; applying $\iota_{\rm crys}$, we get $R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V,\bar V)\otimes\mathbb{Q}\xrightarrow{\sim}R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$.
(ii) One has $\dim_{\bar K} H^n_{\rm dR}(X)=\dim H^n_{\rm \acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (see (ii) of the proposition in [B] 3.4). Now use $\iota_{\rm dR}$ and $\iota_{\rm crys}$ as in (i). Same for the relative cohomology. □

2.5. Assertion (i) of the above proposition can be generalized as follows. Suppose we have $(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})$ that satisfies the conditions of Example in 1.16 with K a subfield of $\bar K$. Let X be the pullback to $\bar K$ of the open subset of Z where the log structure is trivial, $(Z^{-},\mathcal{M}^{-})$ be the pullback of $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ by $(\bar S,\bar{\mathcal{L}})\to(S,\mathcal{L})$ (see 1.17, 1.18 for the notation). Let us define canonical maps

$$R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(Z^{-},\mathcal{M}^{-})\to R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(X),\quad R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(Z^0_1,\mathcal{M}^0_1)\to R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(X).\tag{2.5.1}$$

Consider the arithmetic pair (X,Z^{-}) over $\bar K$; pick any its h-hypercovering $(V_\cdot,\bar V_\cdot)$ by ss-pairs (see [B] §2). One has an evident map of log schemes[29] $(X,Z^{-})\to(Z^{-},\mathcal{M}^{-})$, so $(V_\cdot,\bar V_\cdot)$ is a simplicial log scheme over $(Z^{-},\mathcal{M}^{-})$. Our maps are compositions $R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(Z^{-},\mathcal{M}^{-})\to R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V_\cdot,\bar V_\cdot)\to R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V_\cdot)\xleftarrow{\sim}R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(X)$ and $R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(Z^0_1,\mathcal{M}^0_1)\to R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(Z^{-},\mathcal{M}^{-})\to R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(V_\cdot,\bar V_\cdot)\to R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(V_\cdot)\xleftarrow{\sim}R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(X)$.

Proposition. *The maps from (2.5.1) yield quasi-isomorphisms* $R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(Z^{-},\mathcal{M}^{-})\otimes\mathbb{Q}\xrightarrow{\sim}R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$, $R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(Z^0_1,\mathcal{M}^0_1)\otimes_{K_0}K_0^{\rm nr}\xrightarrow{\sim}R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(X)$.

Proof. Our maps are compatible with identifications $\iota_{\rm crys}$ of (1.18.2) and (2.4.1), so it suffices to check the assertion for $R\Gamma_{\rm HK}$. Let $(\bar X,\mathcal{M}_{\bar X})$ be the pullback of $(Z,\mathcal{M})$ to $\bar K$. This log scheme is log smooth over $\bar K$, and one has an open embedding $j: X\hookrightarrow\bar X$ that identifies X with the open subset of $\bar X$ where $\mathcal{M}_{\bar X}$ is trivial. Isomorphisms $\iota_{\rm dR}$ of (1.16.2) and (2.4.1) show that the assertion for $R\Gamma_{\rm HK}$ amounts to the claim that the map $j^*: R\Gamma(\bar X,\Omega^{\cdot}_{(\bar X,\mathcal{M}_{\bar X})/\bar K})\to R\Gamma(X,\Omega^{\cdot}_{X/\bar K})=R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. This is true for any log scheme $(\bar X,\mathcal{M}_{\bar X})$ log smooth over $\bar K$. Indeed, our claim is étale local, so [K1] 3.7 implies that it suffices to consider the case of $\bar X=\operatorname{Spec}\bar K[P]$, $\mathcal{M}_{\bar X}$ is the log structure generated by P, where P is a finitely generated integral monoid (so $X=\operatorname{Spec}\bar K[P^{\rm gr}]$). Here the assertion is standard (and obtained momentarily by looking at the P-grading of $\Gamma(\bar X,\Omega^{\cdot}_{(\bar X,\mathcal{M}_{\bar X})/\bar K})$). □

3. THE FONTAINE-JANNSEN CONJECTURE.

3.1. For $X\in\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar K}$ we define *the crystalline period map*

$$\rho_{\rm crys}: R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(X)\to R\Gamma_{\rm \acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathrm{A}_{\rm crys}\tag{3.1.1}$$

as the composition of the evident map $R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(X)\to R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(X)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}_p$ with identification (2.3.2). Composing $\rho_{\rm crys}\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ with isomorphism $\iota_{\rm crys}$ from (2.4.1), we get

$$\rho_{\rm HK}: R\Gamma_{\rm HK}(X)^\natural_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm crys}}\to R\Gamma_{\rm \acute{e}t}(X,\mathbb{Q}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm crys}.\tag{3.1.2}$$

---

[29] We view pairs as log schemes as in [B] 3.2.

These are morphisms of E_∞ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$- and $\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}$-algebras equipped with the Frobenius action φ (it acts on the target via the second factor). The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar K/K_0)$ acts on $\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar K}$ and all the functors; ρ_{crys}, ρ_{HK} are compatible with this action. Thus if X is defined over an extension $K \subset \bar K$ of K_0, $X = X_K \otimes_K \bar K$, then $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar K/K)$ acts on both terms of (3.1.1), (3.1.2) and ρ_{crys}, ρ_{HK} commute with the Galois action.

3.2. We use the notation from 1.17. Below $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ is the subgroup of p^∞-roots of 1 in $O^\times_{\bar K}$, so $\mathbb{Q}_p(1)$ is projective limit of the system $\ldots \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$. Thus one has an embedding $\mathbb{Q}_p(1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\varphi$, $(\varepsilon^{(n)}) \mapsto (\varepsilon^{(n)} \bmod pO_{\bar K}) \in \mathcal{L}_\varphi$, hence a canonical embedding $\mathbb{Q}_p(1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{A}^\times_{\mathrm{crys}}$. The image of $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ lies in $(1 + J_{\mathrm{crys}})^\times$; applying $\log : (1 + J_{\mathrm{crys}})^\times \to J_{\mathrm{crys}}$, we get $l : \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \hookrightarrow J_{\mathrm{crys}} \subset \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. As in [F1] 2.3.4, set $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{crys}} := \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}[l(t)^{-1}]$, where t is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$. Inverting $l(t)$ implies inverting p,[30] so $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{crys}} \supset \mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{crys}}$.

Theorem. *The B_{crys}-linear extension of ρ_{crys} and ρ_{HK} are quasi-isomorphisms: for any $X \in \mathcal{V}ar_{\bar K}$ one has $\rho_{\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(X) \otimes_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}} B_{\mathrm{crys}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes B_{\mathrm{crys}}$, $\rho_{\mathrm{HK}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}(X)^\natural_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{crys}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes B_{\mathrm{crys}}$.*

Proof. It is very similar to that of the ρ_{dR} counterpart in [B] 3.6: there is a calculation for circle (Lemma below) followed by a general functoriality argument.

(a) *The case of $X = \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{P}^1 \smallsetminus \{0, \infty\}$*: Let t be the standard parameter on $\mathbb{G}_m$, and $\bar{\mathbb{G}}_m$ be $\mathbb{P}^1$ viewed as a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant compactification of $\bar{\mathbb{G}}_m$. So $(\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K}, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m\bar S})$ is an ss-pair over $\bar K$; denote the corresponding log $\bar S$-scheme by $Y_{\bar S}$. Its log structure is generated by $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ and t. Let Y_{crys} be $\bar{\mathbb{G}}_{mE_{\mathrm{crys}}}$ equipped with the log structure on $\bar{\mathbb{G}}_{mE_{\mathrm{crys}}}$ generated by t and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}}$.

By (ii) of the proposition in 2.4, it suffices to consider the group H^1. Consider the canonical map $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y_{\bar S}) \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K})$. Since Y_{crys} is a pd-smooth object of $(Y_{\bar S_1}/(E_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}}))_{\mathrm{crys}}$, its de Rham complex equals $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y_{\bar S})$ (see (1.8.1), (1.18.1)), so $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y_{\bar S})$ is a free $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$-module generated by $d\log t$. By (i) of the proposition in 2.4, $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a free $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{crys}}$-module generated by the image of $d\log t$. Let κ be the canonical generator of $H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$. It remains to prove:

Lemma. *One has $\rho_{\mathrm{crys}}(d\log t) = l(\kappa)$.*

Proof of Lemma. We do mod p^n computation. Let $\mathbb{G}_{\tilde m}$ be a copy of $\mathbb{G}_m$ with parameter $\tilde t$, and $\pi : \mathbb{G}_{\tilde m} \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be the map $\pi^*(t) = \tilde t^{p^n}$. Then $\mathbb{G}_{\tilde m\bar K}/\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)$-torsor, and $\kappa_n \in H^1(\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(1))$ is its class. The corresponding Čech hypercovering is the twist of $\mathbb{G}_{\tilde m\bar K}$ by the universal $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)$-torsor $\mathfrak{t}$ over the simplicial classifying space $B.$ of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(1)$, and κ_n comes from an evident 1-cocycle on $B.$. Now π extends to an h-covering $(\mathbb{G}_{\tilde m\bar K}, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{\tilde m\bar S}) \to (\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K}, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m\bar S})$ of ss-pairs; its $\mathfrak{t}$-twist $\pi. : (\mathbb{G}_{\tilde m\bar K}, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{\tilde m\bar S}). \to (\mathbb{G}_{m\bar K}, \bar{\mathbb{G}}_{m\bar S})$ is an h-hypercovering in $\mathcal{V}ar^{\mathrm{ss}}_{\bar K}$. Changing the notation, we have $\pi : Y_{\tilde{\bar S}} \to Y_{\bar S}$ and $\pi. : Y_{\tilde{\bar S}.} \to Y_{\bar S}$. We want to check that $\pi^*_{\cdot\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y_{\bar S})_n \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y_{\tilde{\bar S}.})_n$ sends $d\log t$ to $l(\kappa_n)$. To do this, we extend $\pi_1.$ to a map of simplicial pd-smooth thickenings $P^{\sim}_{\cdot} \to P.$ over $E_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}$.

Set $P := Y_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}$, $\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{G}^\natural_{E_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}}$ (:= the pd-envelope of $\mathbb{G}_m$ at 1, see 1.2). Then $\mathcal{G}$ acts on P through $\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{G}_m$. Our $P.$ is the twist of P by the universal $\mathcal{G}$-torsor over the simplicial classifying space $B_{\mathcal{G}}.$.[31] Consider now the $\tilde{}$-copies $P^{\sim}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\sim}$. Together with the $\mathcal{G}^{\sim}$-action, $P^{\sim}$ carries an action of $p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p$ via the composition $p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\times}_{\mathrm{crys}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\times}_{\mathrm{crys}\, n} = \mathbb{G}_m^{\sim}(E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$, where $\hookrightarrow$ is the canonical embedding. Its restriction to $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ lands in $(1 + J_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})^{\times}$, i.e., we have a homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to \mathcal{G}^{\sim}(E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n})$. Both actions combine into an action of the group pd-scheme $\mathcal{G}^{+}$ which is an extension of $(p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)(1)_{E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}}$ by $\mathcal{G}^{\sim}$ defined as the pushout of $0 \to \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to (p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)(1) \to 0$ by α. Our $P^{\sim}_{\cdot}$ is the twist of $P^{\sim}$ by the universal $\mathcal{G}^{+}$-torsor over the simplicial classifyling space $B_{\mathcal{G}^{+}\cdot}$. Extension $\mathcal{G}^{+}$ splits over $\bar{S}_1$ since α vanishes at $\bar{S}_1 \subset E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$, so we get an exact embedding of simplicial log $E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$-schemes $Y_{\tilde{\bar{S}}_1\cdot} \hookrightarrow P^{\sim}_{\cdot}$. The pd-structure on $\mathcal{G}^{\sim}$ provides a pd-structure on its ideal. Finally, the projection $\pi : P^{\sim} \to P$ and an evident "multiplication by p^n" morphism $\pi^{+} : \mathcal{G}^{+} \to \mathcal{G}$ yield a map $\pi_{P\cdot} : P^{\sim}_{\cdot} \to P_{\cdot}$ of pd-thickenings that extends $\pi_{1\cdot}$.

---

[30] The pd-structure on J_{crys} provides $p^{-1}l(t)^p \in J_{\mathrm{crys}}$.

[31] $P.$ is equal to the simplicial object P_* of $(Y_{\bar S_1}/(E_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}})_n)_{\mathrm{crys}}$ from 1.6.

The pd-thickenings P_i and $P^{\sim}_i$ are pd-smooth over $E_{\mathrm{crys}\, n}$, so, by (1.8.1), (1.18.1), the map $\pi^{*}_{\cdot\mathrm{crys}} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y_{\bar{S}})_n \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(Y_{\tilde{\bar{S}}\cdot})_n$ coincides with the pullback map $\pi^{*}_{P\cdot} : R\Gamma(P_{\cdot}, \Omega^{\cdot}_{P_{\cdot}}) \to R\Gamma(P^{\sim}_{\cdot}, \Omega^{\cdot}_{P^{\sim}_{\cdot}})$ between the total de Rham complexes; here $\Omega^{\cdot}_{?} := \Omega^{\cdot}_{?/(E_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{crys}})_n}$. Now $d\log t \in \Gamma(P_0, \Omega^1_{P_0})$ extends to a total 1-cocycle in $\Gamma(P_{\cdot}, \Omega^{\cdot}_{P_{\cdot}})$ by adding the component $\log\chi \in \Gamma(P_1, \mathcal{O}_{P_1})$ which comes from the evident $\mathcal{G}$-valued 1-cocycle χ on $B_{\mathcal{G}\cdot}$. One has $\pi^{*}_{P0}(d\log t) = p^n d\log\tilde{t} = 0$, and $\pi^{*}_{P1}(\log\chi)$ comes from the 1-cocycle $\log(\chi\pi^{+})$ on $B_{\mathcal{G}\cdot}$, which is $l(\kappa_n)$, q.e.d. $\square$

(b) *Compatibility of* ρ_{crys} *with the Gysin maps for codimension 1 closed embeddings of smooth varieties*: Let $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be such an embedding. For any cohomology theory $R\Gamma_{?}$ we deal with, consider the cohomology with supports $R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(X) := \mathcal{C}one(R\Gamma_{?}(X) \to R\Gamma_{?}(X \smallsetminus Y))[-1]$. Recall a definition of the Gysin isomorphism $i_{*} : R\Gamma_{?}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(X)[2]$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the normal line bundle, $i_0 : Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ its zero section. There is a canonical identification $\eta : R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(X)$ defined using the deformation to normal cone construction. Namely, we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{L} \arrow[r, hook] & X_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^1} & X \arrow[l, hook'] \\ Y \arrow[u, "i_0"'] \arrow[r, hook] & Y_{\mathbb{A}^1} \arrow[u] & Y. \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[u, "i"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.2.1}$$

Here $Y_{\mathbb{A}^1} = Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $X_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^1}$ is $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ with $Y \times \{0\}$ blown up, the bottom embeddings are $y \mapsto (y, 0), (y, 1)$. The arrows $R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(\mathcal{L}) \leftarrow R\Gamma_{?\,Y_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(X_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^1}) \to R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(X)$ are quasi-isomorphisms (this is standard for $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}$, $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$; the assertion for $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}$ and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is deduced from that for $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$ using (2.4.1)). Their composition is η.

One has $i_{*} := \eta i_{0*}$, so it suffices to define i_{0*}. The projection $\mathcal{L} \to Y$ makes $R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(\mathcal{L})$ an $R\Gamma_{?}(Y)$-module, and i_{0*} is a morphism of $R\Gamma_{?}(Y)$-modules. Thus to define i_{0*}, we need to specify the orientation class $i_{0*}(1) \in H^2_{?Y}(\mathcal{L}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq 0}(R\Gamma_{?\,Y}(\mathcal{L})[2])$ (here $\xleftarrow{\sim}$ holds due to (ii) of the proposition in 2.4). If Y is connected, then $H^2_{?Y}(\mathcal{L})$ is a free module of rank 1 (see loc. cit.), so, localizing Y, we can assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is trivialized. Then $H^2_{?Y}(\mathcal{L}) \xleftarrow{\sim} H^1_{?}(\mathbb{G}_{m\bar{K}})$, and we define $i_{0*}(1)$ to be $d\log t$ for $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$, $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}$, $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, and $l(\kappa)$ for $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\cdot, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ (see (a) for the notation). We have defined i_{0*}, hence i_{*}. It is an isomorphism (standard for $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}$, $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$; the assertion for $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HK}}$ and $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is deduced from that for $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}$ using (2.4.1)). Evidently i_{*} commutes with the maps of (2.4.1) and, by lemma in (a), with ρ_{crys}.

(c) *The case of smooth projective* X: We can assume that X is connected, $\dim X = d$. Then $H^{2d}_{?}(X)$ is a free module of rank 1 (see (ii) of the proposition in 2.4), and the Poincaré duality pairing $H^i_{?}(X) \times H^{2d-i}_{?}(X) \to H^{2d}_{?}(X)$ is nondegenerate (standard for $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}$, $R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}$; the assertion for $R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}$ and $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is deduced from that for $R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}$ using (2.4.1)). Since ρ_{crys} is a morphism of algebras, it is compatible with the Poincaré duality. This, together with (ii) of the proposition in 2.4, implies that $\rho_{\text{crys}} : H^i_{\text{crys}}(X) \otimes \mathrm{B}_{\text{crys}} \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathrm{B}_{\text{crys}}$ is an isomorphism for every i if this is true for $i = 2d$. To check the latter assertion, consider the class $c_? \in H^2_?(X)$ of hyperplane section (defined using the corresponding Gysin map). Then $c^d_{\text{ét}}$ is a base in $H^{2d}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathrm{B}_{\text{crys}}$, c^d_{crys} is a base in $H^{2d}_{\text{crys}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (by (2.4.1), since c^d_{dR} is a base in $H^{2d}_{\text{dR}}(X)$). Now $\rho_{\text{crys}}(c_{\text{crys}}) = c_{\text{ét}}$ by (b), and we are done.

(d) *The case when X is the complement to a strict normal crossings divisor in a smooth projective variety*: Checked exactly as in [B] 3.6, by induction by the number of the components of the divisor using (c) and (b).

(e) *The case of arbitrary X*: Checked exactly as in [B] 3.6, using an h-hypercovering of X by varieties as in (d). □

3.3. The theorem in 3.2 implies the Fontaine-Jannsen conjecture. To see this, we pull ρ_{HK} back to the Hyodo-Kato $\mathbb{G}_a$-torsor[32] $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}_{\text{st}} / \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}_{\text{crys}}$ to trivialize the twist, cf. (1.18.4). We get a canonical quasi-isomorphism of B_{st}-complexes

$$\rho_{\text{HK}} : R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X)_{\mathrm{B}_{\text{st}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathrm{B}_{\text{st}} \tag{3.3.1}$$

compatible with the (φ, N)-action and with the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$-action on $\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$; here $R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X)_{\mathrm{B}_{\text{st}}} := R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X) \otimes_{K_0^{\text{nr}}} \mathrm{B}_{\text{st}}$. This is the identification asked for in [F2] §6.

Conjectures C_{pst}, C_{st}, and C_{crys} (see [F2] 6.2.1, 6.2.7, 6.1.4) come as follows:

(i) Suppose X is defined over a finite extension K of K_0, $K \subset \bar{K}$, so we have X_K over K and an identification $X = X_K \otimes_K \bar{K}$. We get the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$-action on $R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X)$. By [F1] 4.2.4, $H^n R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X)$ coincides with the subspace of those elements in $H^n R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X)_{\mathrm{B}_{\text{st}}}$ whose stabilizers in $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ are open, hence, via (3.3.1), with the similar subspace of $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathrm{B}_{\text{st}}$. This is conjecture C_{pst}.

(ii) Assume we are in the situation of 2.5. Then $R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1) \otimes_{K_0} K_0^{\text{nr}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(X)$ by the proposition in loc. cit., so $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ acts trivially on $R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1)$. We get $\rho_{\text{HK}} : R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1) \otimes_{K_0} \mathrm{B}_{\text{st}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{B}_{\text{st}}$, which is conjecture C_{st}.

(iii) Assume we are in the situation of 2.5, and $(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1)/(S^0_1, \mathcal{L}^0_1)$ can be realized as the pullback of a log scheme $(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_0)$ over $S^0_1 = \operatorname{Spec} k$ (with trivial log structure) by the tautological map $(S^0_1, \mathcal{L}^0_1) \to S^0_1$. E.g. this happens if X is smooth proper and X_K has smooth model Z: then the log structure $\mathcal{M}^0_0$ is trivial. By the base change, $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_0) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_1)$, so N acts trivially on the Hyodo-Kato cohomology, and ρ_{HK} from the theorem in 3.2 can be rewritten as $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(Z^0_1, \mathcal{M}^0_0) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathrm{B}_{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathrm{B}_{\text{crys}}$, which is conjecture C_{crys}.

3.4. In the rest of the section we show that the crystalline period map is compatible with its derived de Rham cousin ρ_{dR} from [B] 3.5.4:

Theorem-construction. *For any $X \in \mathcal{V}ar_{\bar{K}}$ there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X) \otimes_{\bar{K}} B^+_{\text{dR}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X) \otimes_{\mathrm{A}_{\text{crys}}} B^+_{\text{dR}}, \tag{3.4.1}$$

compatible with the Galois action such that ρ_{dR} is the composition of (3.4.1) with $\rho_{\text{crys}} \otimes id_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\text{dR}}} : R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X) \otimes_{\mathrm{A}_{\text{crys}}} B^+_{\text{dR}} \to R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes B^+_{\text{dR}}$.

---

[32] Here $\mathrm{B}_{\text{st}} := \mathrm{B}^+_{\text{st}} \otimes_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\text{crys}}} \mathrm{B}_{\text{crys}}$.

Proof. Let us fix notation. As in [B] 3.3, for any ss-pair $(V,\bar V)$ over $\bar K$ we denote by $R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)$ its absolute derived de Rham complex $R\Gamma(\bar V, L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(\hat V,\bar V)/W(k)})$. Set $R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)_n := R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n \overset{\sim}{\to} R\Gamma(\bar V, L\Omega^{\cdot}_{(\hat V,\bar V)_n/W_n(k)})$, where $\overset{\sim}{\to}$ is the base change identification, $R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p := {\rm holim}_n R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)_n$, $R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p := (R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$. These are F-filtered E_∞ algebras, where "F-filtered" means that we view them as mere projective systems of quotients modulo the terms of Hodge filtration F^m. Below we consider the homotopy F-completions of these complexes, which we denote by $\lim_F$. So we have $\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)_n = {\rm holim}_m (R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)_n/F^m)$, $\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p := {\rm holim}_m(R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p/F^m) = {\rm holim}_{m,n}(R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)_n/F^m)$, etc.

Recall that $\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR} = R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}({\rm Spec}\,(\bar K, O_{\bar K}))$ (see the lemma in [B] 3.2). The corresponding F-filtered algebras $\mathrm{A}_{{\rm dR}\,n}$, $\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p$, $\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$ are acyclic in degrees $\neq 0$ and their projections $\cdot/F^{m+1}\to\cdot/F^m$ are surjective (see [B] 1.4, 1.5). Thus $\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{{\rm dR}\,n} := \lim_F \mathrm{A}_{{\rm dR}\,n}$ equals $\varprojlim_m H^0(\mathrm{A}_{{\rm dR}\,n}/F^m)$, $\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{\rm dR} := \lim_F \mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p$ equals $\varprojlim_m H^0(\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p/F^m)$, and $\lim_F\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$ equals $\varprojlim H^0(\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p/F^m) = \mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}$. Notice that $\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{{\rm dR}\,n} = \mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{\rm dR}\otimes\mathbb{Z}/p^n = \mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{\rm dR}\otimes^L\mathbb{Z}/p^n$.

For any $(V,\bar V)$, the complex $R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)$ is an F-filtered E_∞ filtered $\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}$-algebra, so $\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)_n$ is an $\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{{\rm dR}\,n}$-algebra, $\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a $\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}$-algebra, etc. In 3.5–3.7 below, we will construct the next natural quasi-isomorphisms:

(a) In 3.5, we define an F-filtered quasi-isomorphism $\gamma : R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(V)\otimes_{\bar K}(\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p) \overset{\sim}{\to} R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$. The filtration F on $R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(V)$ is the Hodge-Deligne filtration (see [B] 3.4); it is finite and ${\rm gr}^{\cdot}_F R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(V)$ is a perfect $\bar K$-complex. Thus we have

$$\lim_F\gamma : R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(V)\otimes_{\bar K}\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}\overset{\sim}{\to}\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p. \tag{3.4.2}$$

(b) In 3.6, using Illusie-Olsson's comparison (see 1.9), we construct a natural compatible system of ring homomorphisms $\mathrm{A}_{{\rm crys}\,n}\to\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{{\rm dR}\,n}$ and quasi-isomorphisms $\kappa_n : R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V,\bar V)_n\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{{\rm crys}\,n}}\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{{\rm dR}\,n}\overset{\sim}{\to}\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)_n$. Since the proposition in 2.1 implies that $R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V,\bar V)\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{\rm crys}}\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{\rm dR}\overset{\sim}{\to}{\rm holim}_n R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V,\bar V)_n\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{{\rm crys}\,n}}\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{{\rm dR}\,n}$, we get

$$\kappa := {\rm holim}_n\kappa_n : R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V,\bar V)\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{\rm crys}}\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{\rm dR}\overset{\sim}{\to}\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{3.4.3}$$

(c) Consider the evident $\mathrm{A}_{\rm dR}\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear map $R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p\to R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$. In 3.7 we prove that the $\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}$-linear extension of its $\lim_F$ is a quasi-isomorphism:

$$(\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}^\diamondsuit_{\rm dR}}\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}\overset{\sim}{\to}\lim_F R\Gamma^\natural_{\rm dR}(V,\bar V)\widehat\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p. \tag{3.4.4}$$

Suppose we know (a)–(c); let us deduce the theorem. Consider the quasi-isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\rm dR}(V)\otimes_{\bar K}\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}\overset{\sim}{\to}R\Gamma_{\rm crys}(V,\bar V)\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{\rm crys}}\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}$ defined as the composition $((3.4.3)\otimes{\rm id}_{\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}})^{-1}(3.4.4)^{-1}(3.4.2)$. This is a quasi-isomorphism of presheaves on $\mathcal{V}ar^{\rm ss}_{\bar K}$. Its h-sheafification is a quasi-isomorphism of h-sheaves $\mathcal{A}_{\rm dR}\otimes_{\bar K}\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathcal{A}_{\rm crys}\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{\rm crys}}\mathrm{B}^+_{\rm dR}$ on $\mathcal{V}ar_{\bar K}$ (we use the notation of [B] 3.4); applying $R\Gamma(X_{\rm h},\cdot)$, we get (3.4.1). The construction is natural, so it commutes with the Galois action. The final property is evident from the constructions of $\rho_{\rm crys}$ and $\rho_{\rm dR}$. We are done. $\square$

3.5. We consider (a) above. Recall that we have a filtered quasi-isomorphism $R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\otimes\mathbb{Q}\overset{\sim}{\to}R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, so the evident map $R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\to R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}_p$ yields the morphism of F-filtered $\bar K$-algebras $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\to R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\gamma : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\otimes_{\bar K}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dR}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p)\to R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$ be its $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dR}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear extension.

Lemma. *γ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. By [B] (4.2.1), $\mathrm{gr}^m_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)$ carry a finite filtration $I.$ and we have an identification $\mathrm{gr}^I_a\mathrm{gr}^m_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)=R\Gamma(\bar V,\Omega^a_{\langle V,\bar V\rangle})[-a]\otimes^L_{O_{\bar K}}\mathrm{gr}^{m-a}_F\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dR}}$. Here $\Omega^a_{\langle V,\bar V\rangle}:=\Omega^a_{(V,\bar V)/(\bar S,\bar{\mathcal{L}})}$ are relative differential forms with log singularities. The I_a's are $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dR}}$-submodules of $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)$ and the identification is $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dR}}$-linear.

Since $R\Gamma(\bar V,\Omega^a_{\langle V,\bar V\rangle})$ is a perfect $O_{\bar K}$-complex, applying $\cdot\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$ yields a finite filtration $I.$ on $\mathrm{gr}^m_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$ together with a $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}$-linear identification $\mathrm{gr}^I_a\mathrm{gr}^m_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p=\bigoplus_{m\geq a\geq 0}R\Gamma(\bar V,\Omega^a_{\langle V,\bar V\rangle})[-a]\otimes_{O_{\bar K}}\mathrm{gr}^{m-a}_F\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}$.

One also has an evident $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}$-linear identification $\mathrm{gr}^m_F(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\otimes_{\bar K}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dR}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p))$ $=\oplus_a\,\mathrm{gr}^a_F(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V))\otimes_{\bar K}\mathrm{gr}^{m-a}_F\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}=\bigoplus_{m\geq a\geq 0}R\Gamma(\bar V,\Omega^a_{\langle V,\bar V\rangle})[-a]\otimes_{O_{\bar K}}\mathrm{gr}^{m-a}_F\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}$.

Since $\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F\gamma$ sends $\mathrm{gr}^a_F R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$ to $I_a\mathrm{gr}^a_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p=\mathrm{gr}^a_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$, it sends $\mathrm{gr}^a_F R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\otimes\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}$ to $I_a\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot+a}_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$. The lemma follows if we check that $\mathrm{gr}^a_F R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\otimes\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot}_F\mathrm{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}\to\mathrm{gr}^I_a\mathrm{gr}^{\cdot+a}_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a quasi-isomorphism. By the above, it suffices to do this for $\cdot=0$. Here it is evident: the projection $R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)\to R\Gamma(\bar V,\Omega^{\cdot}_{\langle V,\bar V\rangle})$ provides, after applying $\mathrm{gr}^a_F\cdot\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_p$, the inverse map. □

3.6. Let us consider (b) in 3.4. The log schemes $(V,\bar V)_n/W_n$ satisfy the condition of Remark in 1.9, so we get canonical morphisms

$$\kappa_n : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar V)_n\to\lim_F R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)_n. \tag{3.6.1}$$

Namely, κ_n is projective limit of the maps $\kappa_{m,n} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar V)_n\to R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)_n/F^m$, where $\kappa_{m,n}$ equals the composition $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar V)_n\overset{\sim}{\to}R\Gamma(((V,\bar V)_n/W_n)_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{O})\to R\Gamma(((V,\bar V)_n/W_n),\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}^{[m]})\overset{\sim}{\to}R\Gamma^{\natural}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)_n/F^m$, the first $\overset{\sim}{\to}$ comes from Remark in 1.12, the second $\overset{\sim}{\to}$ is the inverse to the Illusie-Olsson isomorphism (1.9.2).

Example. For $(V,\bar V)=\mathrm{Spec}\,(\bar K,O_{\bar K})$, our κ_n is a canonical map $\mathrm{A}_{crys\,n}\to\mathrm{A}^{\diamondsuit}_{\mathrm{dR}\,n}$. By Illusie-Olsson (see 1.9), it identifies $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}/J^{[m]}_n$ with $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dR}\,n}/F^m$, where J_n is the kernel of the projection $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}\twoheadrightarrow\hat O_{\bar K}/p^n$. Thus $\mathrm{A}^{\diamondsuit}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ is the completion of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ with respect to the $J^{[m]}$-topology.

Proposition. *The $A^{\diamondsuit}_{\mathrm{dR}\,n}$-linear extension of κ_n is an isomorphism: one has*

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar V)_n\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}}A^{\diamondsuit}_{\mathrm{dR}\,n}\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathit{lim}_F R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V,\bar V)_n. \tag{3.6.3}$$

Proof. By Proposition in 2.1, the l.h.s. in (3.6.3) equals $\mathrm{holim}_m R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar V)_n\otimes^L_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}/J^{[m]}_n)\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathrm{holim}_m R\Gamma(((V,\bar V)_n/(\bar S_n,\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}/J^{[m]}_n))_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{O}_{\bar V_n/(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}/J^{[m]}_n)})$ by base change and (1.18.1). Since $(V,\bar V)_n$ is log smooth over $(\bar S,\bar{\mathcal{L}})_n$, the Hodge-pd filtration on $\Omega^{\cdot}_{\bar V_n/(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}/J^{[m]}_n)}$ is finite (in fact, $F^N\Omega^{\cdot}_{\bar V_n/(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}\,n}/J^{[m]}_n)}$ vanishes for $N\geq\dim V+m$), so the above completion equals the completion for the Hodge-pd filtration, which is the r.h.s. of (3.6.3) by the Illusie-Olsson theorem in 1.9. □

3.7. Consider finally (c) in 3.4. Using (3.4.2) and (3.4.3), the map (3.4.4) can be rewritten as $\phi : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar{V})\otimes^{L}_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}}\mathrm{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{dR}} \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\otimes_{\bar{K}}\mathrm{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{dR}}$. Both terms are perfect $\mathrm{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{dR}}$-complexes (see Proposition in 2.1) and $\mathrm{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ is a dvr, so to prove that ϕ is a quasi-isomorphism it suffices to check that its pullback $\phi_{\mathbb{C}_p} : R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar{V})\otimes^{L}_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}}\mathbb{C}_p \to R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\otimes_{\bar{K}}\mathbb{C}_p$ to $\mathrm{B}^{+}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{dR}} = \mathbb{C}_p$ is a quasi-isomorphism. We use 2.1 and the notation in loc. cit. By (1.18.1) and base change, one has $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar{V})\otimes^{L}_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}}\hat{O}_{\bar{K}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(Z,\Omega_{(Z,\mathcal{M})/(S,\mathcal{L})})\otimes^{L}_{O_K}\hat{O}_{\bar{K}}$, hence $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(V,\bar{V})\otimes^{L}_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{crys}}}\mathbb{C}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\otimes_{\bar{K}}\mathbb{C}_p$. Comparing it with the definition of κ in (3.4.2), we see that this identification equals $\phi_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, and we are done. $\square$

REFERENCES

[B] A. Beilinson, *p-adic periods and derived de Rham cohomology*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 3, AMS, 715–738.

[Ber] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie Cristalline des Schémas de Characteristique* $p > 0$, Lect. Notes in Math. 407, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[Bh1] B. Bhatt, *p-divisibility for coherent cohomology*, math. AG 1204.5831 (2012).

[Bh2] B. Bhatt, *p-adic derived de Rham cohomology*, math. AG 1204.6560 (2012).

[BhdJ] B. Bhatt, A. J. de Jong, *Crystalline cohomology and de Rham cohomology*, math. AG 1110.5001 (2011).

[F1] J.-M. Fontaine, *Le corps de périodes p-adiques*, Périodes p-adiques, Astérisque 223, SMF, 1994, pp. 59–101.

[F2] J.-M. Fontaine, *Représentations p-adiques semi-stables*, Périodes p-adiques, Astérisque 223, SMF, 1994, pp. 113–184.

[G] A. Grothendieck, *Site et topos étales d'un schéma*, Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale de schémas (SGA 4), Tome II, Lect. Notes in Math. 270, Springer-Verlag, 1972, pp. 341–365.

[HK] O. Hyodo, K. Kato, *Semi-stable reduction and crystalline cohomology with logarithmic poles*, Périodes p-adiques, Astérisque 223, SMF, 1994, pp. 221–268.

[Ill1] L. Illusie, *Complexe Cotangent et Déformations I*, Lect. Notes in Math. 239, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[Ill2] L. Illusie, *Complexe Cotangent et Déformations II*, Lect. Notes in Math. 283, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

[Ill3] L. Illusie, *Pre-notes for Sapporo seminar* (March 2011).

[K1] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, Algebraic Analysis, Geometry, and Number Theory, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1988, pp. 191–224.

[K2] K. Kato, *Semi-stable reduction and p-adic étale cohomology*, Périodes p-adiques, Astérisque 223, SMF, 1994, pp. 269–293.

[Ka] N. Katz, *Travaux de Dwork*, Séminaire Bourbaki 24ème année (1971/1972), Exp. No. 409,, Lect. Notes in Math. 317, Springer, 1973, pp. 167–200.

[Ol1] M. Olsson, *The logarithmic cotangent complex*, Math. Ann. **333** (2005), 859–931.

[Ol2] M. Olsson, *Crystalline cohomology of algebraic stacks and Hyodo-Kato cohomology*, Astérisque, vol. 316, SMF, 2007.

[Og] A. Ogus, *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*, http://math.berkeley.edu/˜ogus/.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637
E-mail address: `sasha@math.uchicago.edu`